

CODIMENSION 1 MUKAI FOLIATIONS ON COMPLEX PROJECTIVE MANIFOLDS

CAROLINA ARAUJO AND STÉPHANE DRUEL

ABSTRACT. In this paper we classify codimension 1 Mukai foliations on complex projective manifolds.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Foliations	4
2.2. Fano foliations	6
2.3. Fano Foliations with large index on \mathbb{P}^n and Q^n	7
3. Codimension 1 Mukai foliations on Fano manifolds with $\rho = 1$	7
3.1. Fano manifolds of high index	7
3.2. Del Pezzo foliations of codimension 2	8
3.3. Proof of Theorem 5	11
4. Codimension 1 Mukai foliations on manifolds with $\rho > 1$	11
4.1. Adjunction Theory	11
4.2. Codimension 1 Mukai foliations on projective space bundles over curves	14
4.3. Codimension 1 Mukai foliations on quadric bundles over curves	18
4.4. Codimension 1 Mukai foliations on projective space bundles over surfaces	21
4.5. Proof of Theorem 7	30
References	36

1. INTRODUCTION

Codimension 1 holomorphic foliations on complex projective spaces are a central theme in complex dynamics. An important numerical invariant of such a foliation \mathcal{F} is its degree $\deg(\mathcal{F})$, defined as the number of tangencies of a general line with \mathcal{F} . Codimension 1 foliations on \mathbb{P}^n with low degree present special behavior, and those with $\deg(\mathcal{F}) \leq 2$ have been classified. Codimension 1 foliations on \mathbb{P}^n with $\deg(\mathcal{F}) \leq 1$ were classified in [Jou79]. If $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = 0$, then \mathcal{F} is induced by a pencil of hyperplane sections, i.e., it is the relative tangent sheaf to a linear projection $\mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. If $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = 1$, then

- either \mathcal{F} is induced by a pencil of hyperquadrics containing a double hyperplane, or
- \mathcal{F} is the linear pullback of a foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 induced by a global holomorphic vector field.

Codimension 1 foliations of degree 2 on \mathbb{P}^n were classified in [CLN96]. The space of such foliations has 6 irreducible components, and much is known about them. In particular, when $n \geq 4$, their leaves are always covered by rational curves.

In this paper we extend this classification to arbitrary complex projective manifolds. In order to do so, we reinterpret the degree of a foliation \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}^n as a numerical invariant defined in terms of its *canonical class* $K_{\mathcal{F}} := -c_1(\mathcal{F})$. For a codimension 1 foliation \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}^n , $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = n - 1 + \deg(K_{\mathcal{F}})$. So, foliations with low degree are precisely those with $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$ most positive.

Definition 1 ([AD13]). A *Fano foliation* is a holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} on a complex projective manifold X such that $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$ is ample. The *index* $\iota_{\mathcal{F}}$ of \mathcal{F} is the largest integer dividing $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$ in $\text{Pic}(X)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 14M22, 37F75.

The first named author was partially supported by CNPq and Faperj Research Fellowships.

The second named author was partially supported by the CLASS project of the A.N.R.

It follows from [BM01, Theorem 0.1] that the leaves of a Fano foliation \mathcal{F} are always covered by positive dimensional rationally connected algebraic subvarieties of X . Recent results suggest that the higher is the index of \mathcal{F} , the higher is the dimension of these subvarieties. In order to state this precisely, we define the *algebraic* and *transcendental* parts of a holomorphic foliation.

Definition 2. Let \mathcal{F} be a holomorphic foliation of rank $r_{\mathcal{F}}$ on a normal variety X . There exists a normal variety Y , unique up to birational equivalence, a dominant rational map with connected fibers $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow Y$, and a holomorphic foliation \mathcal{G} on Y of rank $r_{\mathcal{G}} = r_{\mathcal{F}} - (\dim(X) - \dim(Y))$ such that the following holds (see [LPT11, Section 2.4]).

- (1) \mathcal{G} is purely transcendental, i.e., there is no positive dimensional algebraic subvariety through a general point of Y that is tangent to \mathcal{G} ; and
- (2) \mathcal{F} is the pullback of \mathcal{G} via φ (see 11 for this notion).

The foliation on X induced by φ is called the *algebraic part* of \mathcal{F} , and its rank is the *algebraic rank* of \mathcal{F} , which we denote by $r_{\mathcal{F}}^a$. When $r_{\mathcal{F}}^a = r_{\mathcal{F}}$, we say that \mathcal{F} is *algebraically integrable*.

Theorem 3. Let \mathcal{F} be a Fano foliation of rank $r_{\mathcal{F}}$ on a complex projective manifold X . Then $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} \leq r_{\mathcal{F}}$, and equality holds only if $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ ([ADK08, Theorem 1.1]). In this case, by [DC05, Théorème 3.8], \mathcal{F} is induced by a linear projection $\mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-r_{\mathcal{F}}}$. In particular, $r_{\mathcal{F}}^a = r_{\mathcal{F}}$.

In analogy with the case of Fano manifolds, we define *del Pezzo foliations* to be Fano foliations \mathcal{F} with index $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} = r_{\mathcal{F}} - 1 \geq 1$. Del Pezzo foliations were investigated in [AD13] and [AD12]. By [AD13, Theorem 1.1], if \mathcal{F} is a del Pezzo foliation on a complex projective manifold X , then $r_{\mathcal{F}}^a = r_{\mathcal{F}}$, except when $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ and \mathcal{F} is the pullback under a linear projection of a transcendental foliation on $\mathbb{P}^{n-r_{\mathcal{F}}+1}$ induced by a global vector field, in which case $r_{\mathcal{F}}^a = r_{\mathcal{F}} - 1$.

The following is the complete classification of codimension 1 del Pezzo foliations on complex projective manifolds. For manifolds with Picard number 1, the classification was obtained in [LPT13, Proposition 3.7], while [AD12, Theorem 1.3] deals with mildly singular varieties of arbitrary Picard number.

Theorem 4 ([AD12, Theorem 1.3]). Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension 1 del Pezzo foliation on an n -dimensional complex projective manifold X .

- (1) Suppose that $\rho(X) = 1$. Then either $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ and \mathcal{F} is a degree 1 foliation, or $X \cong Q^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ and \mathcal{F} is induced by a pencil of hyperplane sections.
- (2) Suppose that $\rho(X) \geq 2$. Then there exist
 - an exact sequence of vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^1 , $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow 0$;
 - a foliation by curves \mathcal{C} on $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{K})$, generically transverse to the natural projection $p : \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, induced by a nonzero global section of $T_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K})} \otimes q^* \det(\mathcal{V})^*$;
 such that $X \cong \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback of \mathcal{C} via the induced relative linear projection $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{K})$. Moreover, one of the following holds.
 - (a) $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K}) \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2})$ for some positive integer a .
 - (b) $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K}) \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2})$ for some positive integer a .
 - (c) $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K}) \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b))$ for distinct positive integers a and b .

Next we define *Mukai foliations* as Fano foliations \mathcal{F} with index $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} = r_{\mathcal{F}} - 2 \geq 1$. When $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ and $r_{\mathcal{F}} = n - 1 \geq 3$, the Mukai condition is equivalent to $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = 2$. One checks from the classification in [CLN96] that $r_{\mathcal{F}}^a \geq r_{\mathcal{F}} - 2$.

The aim of this paper is to classify codimension 1 Mukai foliations on complex projective manifolds $X \not\cong \mathbb{P}^n$. The classification is summarized in the following two theorems.

Theorem 5. Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension 1 Mukai foliation on an n -dimensional complex projective manifold $X \not\cong \mathbb{P}^n$ with $\rho(X) = 1$, $n \geq 4$. Then the pair (X, \mathcal{F}) satisfies one of the following conditions.

- (1) $X \cong Q^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ and \mathcal{F} is one of the following.
 - (a) \mathcal{F} cut out by a general pencil of hyperquadrics of \mathbb{P}^{n+1} containing a double hyperplane. In this case, $r_{\mathcal{F}}^a = r_{\mathcal{F}}$.
 - (b) \mathcal{F} is the pullback under the restriction of a linear projection $\mathbb{P}^{n+1} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ of a foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 induced by a global vector field. In this case, $r_{\mathcal{F}}^a \geq r_{\mathcal{F}} - 1$.
- (2) X is a Fano manifold with $\rho(X) = 1$ and index $\iota_X = n - 1$, and \mathcal{F} is induced by a pencil in $|\mathcal{O}_X(1)|$, where $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is the ample generator of $\text{Pic}(X)$. In this case, $r_{\mathcal{F}}^a = r_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Remark 6. In case (2), by Fujita's classification (see Section 3.1), X is isomorphic to one of the following:

- A cubic hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} .
- An intersection of two hyperquadrics in \mathbb{P}^{n+2} .
- A linear section of the Grassmannian $G(2, 5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ under the Plücker embedding.
- A hypersurface of degree 4 in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(2, 1, \dots, 1)$.
- A hypersurface of degree 6 in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(3, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$.

Theorem 7. *Let X be an n -dimensional complex projective manifold with $\rho(X) > 1$, $n \geq 4$. Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension 1 Mukai foliation on X . Then one of the following holds.*

- (1) X admits a \mathbb{P}^{n-1} -bundle structure $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $r_{\mathcal{F}}^a = r_{\mathcal{F}}$, and the restriction of \mathcal{F} to a general fiber of π is induced by a pencil of hyperquadrics of \mathbb{P}^{n-1} containing a double hyperplane.
- (2) There exist

- a complete smooth curve C , together with an exact sequence of vector bundles on C

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow 0,$$

with \mathcal{E} ample of rank n , and $r := \text{rank}(\mathcal{K}) \in \{2, 3\}$;

- a codimension 1 foliation \mathcal{G} on $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{K})$, generically transverse to the natural projection $p : \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow C$, satisfying $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong p^*(\det(\mathcal{V})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{K})}(r-3)$ and $r_{\mathcal{G}}^a \geq r_{\mathcal{G}} - 1$;

such that $X \cong \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback of \mathcal{G} via the induced relative linear projection $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{K})$. In this case, $r_{\mathcal{F}}^a \geq r_{\mathcal{F}} - 1$.

- (3) X admits a Q^{n-1} -bundle structure $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $r_{\mathcal{F}}^a = r_{\mathcal{F}}$, and the restriction of \mathcal{F} to a general fiber of π is induced by a pencil of hyperplane sections of Q^{n-1} . More precisely, there exist

- an exact sequence of vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^1

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow 0,$$

with natural projections $\pi : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $q : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$;

- an integer b and a foliation by rational curves $\mathcal{G} \cong q^*(\det(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b))$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{K})$;

such that $X \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(2) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}(b)|$, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback of \mathcal{G} via the restriction to X of the relative linear projection $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{K})$. Moreover, one of the following holds.

- (a) $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K}) \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 3}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2})$ for some integer $a \geq 1$, and $b = 2$ ($n=4$).
- (b) $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K}) \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2})$ for some integer $a \geq 1$, and $b = 1$ ($n=4$).
- (c) $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K}) \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus 2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2})$ for some integer $a \geq 1$, and $b = 0$ ($n=4$).
- (d) $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2}$ for some integer a , and \mathcal{E} is an ample vector bundle of rank 5 or 6 with $\deg(\mathcal{E}) = 2 + 2a - b$ ($n \in \{4, 5\}$).
- (e) $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(c)$ for distinct integers a and c , and \mathcal{E} is an ample vector bundle of rank 5 or 6 with $\deg(\mathcal{E}) = 1 + a + c - b$ ($n \in \{4, 5\}$).

- (4) There exist

- a smooth projective surface S , together with an exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_S -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{E} , and $\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{Q}^{**}$ are vector bundles on S , \mathcal{E} is ample of rank $n-1$, and $\text{rank}(\mathcal{K}) = 2$;

- a codimension 1 foliation \mathcal{G} on $\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{K})$, generically transverse to the natural projection $q : \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow S$, satisfying $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^* \det(\mathcal{V})$ and $r_{\mathcal{G}}^a \geq 1$;

such that $X \cong \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})$, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback of \mathcal{G} via the induced relative linear projection $\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{K})$. In this case, $r_{\mathcal{F}}^a \geq r_{\mathcal{F}} - 1$. Moreover, one of the following holds.

- (a) $S \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, $\det(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(i)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and $4 \leq n \leq 3 + i$.
- (b) S is a del Pezzo surface $\not\cong \mathbb{P}^2$, $\det(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{O}_S(-K_S)$, and $4 \leq n \leq 5$.
- (c) $S \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $\det(\mathcal{V})$ is a line bundle of type $(1, 1)$, $(2, 1)$ or $(1, 2)$, and $n = 4$.
- (d) $S \cong \mathbb{F}_e$ for some integer $e \geq 1$, $\det(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0 + (e+i)f)$, where $i \in \{1, 2\}$, C_0 is the minimal section of the natural morphism $\mathbb{F}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, f is a general fiber, and $n = 4$.

- (5) $n = 5$, X is the blowup of one point $P \in \mathbb{P}^5$, and \mathcal{F} is induced by a pencil of hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^5 containing P in its base locus.
- (6) $n = 4$, X is the blowup of \mathbb{P}^4 at $m \leq 8$ points in general position on a plane $\mathbb{P}^2 \cong S \subset \mathbb{P}^4$, and \mathcal{F} is induced by the pencil of hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^4 with base locus S .

- (7) $n = 4$, X is the blowup of a smooth quadric Q^4 at $m \leq 7$ points in general position on a codimension 2 linear section $Q^2 \cong S \subset Q^4$, and \mathcal{F} is induced by the pencil of hyperplanes sections of $Q^4 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ with base locus S .

Remark 8.

- Foliations \mathcal{G} that appear in Theorem 7(2) are classified in Proposition 46.
- Foliations \mathcal{G} that appear in Theorem 7(4) are classified in Remark 58, Proposition 60, Proposition 65, and Proposition 68.

This paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we review basic definitions and results about holomorphic foliations and Fano foliations.

Section 3 is devoted to Mukai foliations on manifolds with Picard number 1. First we show that if X is a manifold with $\rho(X) = 1$ admitting a codimension 1 Mukai foliation \mathcal{F} , then X is a Fano manifold with index $\iota_X \geq \dim(X) - 1$ (Lemma 27). The proof of Theorem 5 relies on the classification of such manifolds, which is reviewed in Section 3.1. The proof distinguishes two cases, depending on whether or not \mathcal{F} is semi-stable. When \mathcal{F} is not semi-stable, then it contains a codimension 2 del Pezzo subfoliation \mathcal{G} . Such foliations are classified in Section 3.2 (Theorem 28).

Section 4 is devoted to Mukai foliations on manifolds with Picard number > 1 . The existence of a codimension 1 Mukai foliation \mathcal{F} on a manifold X with $\rho(X) > 1$ implies the existence of an extremal ray in $\overline{\text{NE}}(X)$ with large length. We use adjunction theory to classify all possible contractions of such extremal rays (Theorem 40). In order to prove Theorem 7, we analyze the behavior of the foliation \mathcal{F} with respect to the contraction of a large extremal ray. This is done separately for each type of contraction. Section 4.2 deals with projective space bundles over curves. Section 4.3 deals with quadric bundles over curves. Section 4.4 deals with projective space bundles over surfaces. Birational contractions are treated in Section 4.5.

Notation and conventions. We always work over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. Varieties are always assumed to be irreducible. We denote by $\text{Sing}(X)$ the singular locus of a variety X .

Given a sheaf \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on a variety X , we denote by \mathcal{F}^* the sheaf $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)$. If r is the generic rank of \mathcal{F} , then we denote by $\det(\mathcal{F})$ the sheaf $(\wedge^r \mathcal{F})^{**}$. If \mathcal{G} is another sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on X , then we denote by $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ the sheaf $(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})^{**}$.

If \mathcal{E} is a locally free sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on a variety X , we denote by $\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E})$ the Grothendieck projectivization $\text{Proj}_X(\text{Sym}(\mathcal{E}))$, and by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ its tautological line bundle.

If X is a normal variety and $X \rightarrow Y$ is any morphism, we denote by $T_{X/Y}$ the sheaf $(\Omega_{X/Y}^1)^*$. In particular, $T_X = (\Omega_X^1)^*$.

If X is a smooth variety and D is a reduced divisor on X with simple normal crossings support, we denote by $\Omega_X^1(\log D)$ the sheaf of differential 1-forms with logarithmic poles along D , and by $T_X(-\log D)$ its dual sheaf $\Omega_X^1(\log D)^*$. Notice that $\det(\Omega_X^1(\log D)) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + D)$.

We denote by Q^n a (possibly singular) quadric hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} .

Given line bundles \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 on varieties X and Y , we denote by $\mathcal{L}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_2$ the line bundle $\pi_1^* \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}_2$ on $X \times Y$, where π_1 and π_2 are the projections onto X and Y , respectively.

Let L be a Cartier divisor on a projective variety. We denote by $\text{Bs}(L)$ the base locus of the complete linear system $|L|$.

Acknowledgements. Much of this work was developed during the authors' visits to IMPA and Institut Fourier. We would like to thank both institutions for their support and hospitality.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Foliations.

Definition 9. A *foliation* on a normal variety X is a (possibly zero) coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ such that

- \mathcal{F} is closed under the Lie bracket, and
- \mathcal{F} is saturated in T_X (i.e., T_X/\mathcal{F} is torsion free).

The *rank* $r_{\mathcal{F}}$ of \mathcal{F} is the generic rank of \mathcal{F} . The *codimension* of \mathcal{F} is defined as $q_{\mathcal{F}} := \dim(X) - r_{\mathcal{F}} \geq 1$.

The inclusion $\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow T_X$ induces a nonzero map

$$\eta : \Omega_X^{r_{\mathcal{F}}} = \wedge^{r_{\mathcal{F}}}(\Omega_X^1) \rightarrow \wedge^{r_{\mathcal{F}}}(T_X^*) \rightarrow \wedge^{r_{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F}^*) \rightarrow \det(\mathcal{F}^*).$$

The *singular locus* of \mathcal{F} is the singular scheme of this map. I.e., it is the closed subscheme of X whose ideal sheaf is the image of the induced map $\Omega_X^{\mathcal{F}}[\otimes] \det(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$.

A closed subvariety Y of X is said to be *invariant* by \mathcal{F} if it is not contained in the singular locus of \mathcal{F} , and the restriction $\eta|_Y : \Omega_X^{\mathcal{F}}|_Y \rightarrow \det(\mathcal{F}^*)|_Y$ factors through the natural map $\Omega_X^{\mathcal{F}}|_Y \rightarrow \Omega_Y^{\mathcal{F}}$.

10 (Foliations defined by q -forms). Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension q foliation on an n -dimensional normal variety X . The *normal sheaf* of \mathcal{F} is $N_{\mathcal{F}} := (T_X/\mathcal{F})^{**}$. The q -th wedge product of the inclusion $N_{\mathcal{F}}^* \hookrightarrow (\Omega_X^1)^{**}$ gives rise to a nonzero global section $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^q[\otimes] \det(N_{\mathcal{F}}))$ whose zero locus has codimension at least 2 in X . Such ω is *locally decomposable* and *integrable*. To say that ω is locally decomposable means that, in a neighborhood of a general point of X , ω decomposes as the wedge product of q local 1-forms $\omega = \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_q$. To say that it is integrable means that for this local decomposition one has $d\omega_i \wedge \omega = 0 \ \forall i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$.

Conversely, let \mathcal{L} be a reflexive sheaf of rank 1 on X , $q \geq 1$, and $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^q[\otimes] \mathcal{L})$ a global section whose zero locus has codimension at least 2 in X . Suppose that ω is locally decomposable and integrable. Then one defines a foliation of rank $r = n - q$ on X as the kernel of the morphism $T_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^{q-1}[\otimes] \mathcal{L}$ given by the contraction with ω . These constructions are inverse of each other.

11 (Foliations described as pullbacks). Let X and Y be normal varieties, and $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ a dominant rational map that restricts to a morphism $\varphi^\circ : X^\circ \rightarrow Y^\circ$, where $X^\circ \subset X$ and $Y^\circ \subset Y$ are smooth open subsets.

Let \mathcal{G} be a codimension q foliation on Y defined by a twisted q -form $\omega \in H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^q[\otimes] \det(N_{\mathcal{G}}))$. Then ω induces a nonzero twisted q -form $\omega_{X^\circ} \in H^0(X^\circ, \Omega_{X^\circ}^q[\otimes] (\varphi^\circ)^*(\det(N_{\mathcal{G}})|_{Y^\circ}))$ which in turn defines a codimension q foliation \mathcal{F}° on X° . We say that the saturation \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{F}° in T_X is the *pullback of \mathcal{G} via φ* , and write $\mathcal{F} = \varphi^{-1}\mathcal{G}$.

Suppose that X° can be taken so that φ° is an equidimensional morphism. Let $(B_i)_{i \in I}$ be the (possibly empty) set of hypersurfaces in Y° contained in the set of critical values of φ° and invariant by \mathcal{G} . A straightforward computation shows that

$$(2.1) \quad N_{\mathcal{F}^\circ} \cong (\varphi^\circ)^* N_{\mathcal{G}|_{Y^\circ}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^\circ} \left(\sum_{i \in I} ((\varphi^\circ)^* B_i)_{red} - (\varphi^\circ)^* B_i \right).$$

Conversely, let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on X , and suppose that the general fiber of φ is tangent to \mathcal{F} . This means that, for a general point x on a general fiber F of φ , the linear subspace $\mathcal{F}_x \subset T_x X$ determined by the inclusion $\mathcal{F} \subset T_X$ contains $T_x F$. Suppose moreover that φ° is smooth with connected fibers. Then, by [AD13, Lemma 6.7], there is a holomorphic foliation \mathcal{G} on Y such that $\mathcal{F} = \varphi^{-1}\mathcal{G}$. Suppose that X° can be taken so that $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus X^\circ) \geq 2$. Denote by $T_{X/Y}$ the saturation of T_{X°/Y° in T_X , and by $\varphi^*\mathcal{G}$ an extension of $(\varphi^\circ)^*\mathcal{G}|_{Y^\circ}$ to X . Then (2.1) gives

$$(2.2) \quad \det(\mathcal{F}) \cong \det(T_{X/Y})[\otimes] \det(\varphi^*\mathcal{G}).$$

Definition 12. Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on a normal projective variety X . The *canonical class* $K_{\mathcal{F}}$ of \mathcal{F} is any Weil divisor on X such that $\mathcal{O}_X(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) \cong \det(\mathcal{F})$.

13 (Restricting foliations to subvarieties). Let X be a smooth projective variety, and \mathcal{F} a codimension q foliation on X defined by a twisted q -form $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^q \otimes \det(N_{\mathcal{F}}))$. Let Z be a smooth subvariety with normal bundle $N_{Z/X}$. Suppose that the restriction of ω to Z is nonzero. Then it induces a nonzero twisted q -form $\omega_Z \in H^0(Z, \Omega_Z^q \otimes \det(N_{\mathcal{F}}|_Z))$, and a codimension q foliation \mathcal{F}_Z on Z . There is a maximal effective divisor B on Z such that $\omega_Z \in H^0(Z, \Omega_Z^q \otimes \det(N_{\mathcal{F}}|_Z)(-B))$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\mathcal{O}_Z(K_{\mathcal{F}_Z}) \cong \det(N_{Z/X})(K_{\mathcal{F}|_Z} - B).$$

Definition 14. Let X be normal variety. A foliation \mathcal{F} on X is said to be *algebraically integrable* if the leaf of \mathcal{F} through a general point of X is an algebraic variety. In this situation, by abuse of notation we often use the word *leaf* to mean the closure in X of a leaf of \mathcal{F} .

15 ([AD13, Lemma 3.2]). Let X be normal projective variety, and \mathcal{F} an algebraically integrable foliation on X . There is a unique irreducible closed subvariety W of $\text{Chow}(X)$ whose general point parametrizes the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{F} (viewed as a reduced and irreducible cycle in X). In other words, if $U \subset W \times X$ is the universal cycle, with universal morphisms $\pi : U \rightarrow W$ and $e : U \rightarrow X$, then e is birational, and, for a general point $w \in W$, $e(\pi^{-1}(w)) \subset X$ is the closure of a leaf of \mathcal{F} .

We call the normalization \tilde{W} of W the *space of leaves* of \mathcal{F} , and the induced rational map $X \dashrightarrow \tilde{W}$ a *rational first integral* for \mathcal{F} .

We end this subsection with a useful criterion of algebraic integrability for foliations.

Theorem 16 ([BM01, Theorem 0.1], [KSCT07, Theorem 1]). *Let X be a normal complex projective variety, and \mathcal{F} a foliation on X . Let $C \subset X$ be a complete curve disjoint from the singular loci of X and \mathcal{F} . Suppose that the restriction $\mathcal{F}|_C$ is an ample vector bundle on C . Then the leaf of \mathcal{F} through any point of C is an algebraic variety, and the leaf of \mathcal{F} through a general point of C is rationally connected.*

2.2. Fano foliations.

Definition 17. Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on a normal projective variety X . We say that \mathcal{F} is a *Fano foliation* (respectively **Q-Fano foliation**) if $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$ is an ample Cartier (respectively **Q-Cartier**) divisor on X .

The *index* $\iota_{\mathcal{F}}$ of a Fano foliation \mathcal{F} on X is the largest integer dividing $-K_{\mathcal{F}}$ in $\text{Pic}(X)$. We say that a Fano foliation \mathcal{F} is a *del Pezzo foliation* if $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} = r_{\mathcal{F}} - 1$. We say that it is a *Mukai foliation* if $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} = r_{\mathcal{F}} - 2$.

The existence of a **Q-Fano** foliation on a variety X imposes strong restrictions on X .

Theorem 18 ([AD12, Theorem 1.4]). *Let X be a klt projective variety, and $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq T_X$ a **Q-Fano** foliation. Then $K_X - K_{\mathcal{F}}$ is not pseudo-effective.*

Suppose that a complex projective manifold X admits a Fano foliation \mathcal{F} . By Theorem 18, K_X is not pseudo-effective, and hence X is uniruled by [BDPP13]. So we can consider a *minimal dominating family of rational curves* on X . This is an irreducible component H of $\text{RatCurves}^n(X)$ such that

- the curves parametrized by H sweep out a dense subset of X , and
- for a general point $x \in X$, the subset of H parametrizing curves through x is proper.

To compute the intersection number $-K_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \ell$, where ℓ is a general curve from the family H , we will use the following observations.

Lemma 19. *Let X be a complex projective manifold, and \mathcal{F} a codimension one foliation on X . Let $C \subset X$ be a curve not contained in the singular locus of \mathcal{F} , and denote by g its geometric genus. If C is not tangent to \mathcal{F} , then $-K_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot C \leq -K_X \cdot C + 2g - 2$.*

Proof. Set $n := \dim(X)$, and let $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes \det(N_{\mathcal{F}}))$ be a 1-form defining \mathcal{F} , as in 10. Consider the normalization morphism $f : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C \subset X$. The pullback of ω to \tilde{C} yields a nonzero 1-form $\tilde{\omega} \in H^0(\tilde{C}, \Omega_{\tilde{C}}^1 \otimes f^* \det(N_{\mathcal{F}}))$. Thus $\deg(\Omega_{\tilde{C}}^1 \otimes f^* \det(N_{\mathcal{F}})) \geq 0$, proving the lemma. \square

Lemma 20. *Let X be a uniruled complex projective manifold, and \mathcal{F} a foliation on X . Let $\ell \subset X$ be a general member of a minimal dominating family of rational curves on X . If ℓ is not tangent to \mathcal{F} , then $-K_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \ell \leq -K_X \cdot \ell - 2$.*

Proof. Set $n := \dim(X)$. Consider the normalization morphism $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \ell \subset X$. By [Kol96, IV.2.9], $f^*T_X \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus d} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus(n-d-1)}$, where $0 \leq d = -K_X \cdot \ell - 2 \leq n - 1$. Write $f^*\mathcal{F} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)$. Since ℓ is general, $f^*\mathcal{F}$ is a subbundle of f^*T_X , and since ℓ is not tangent to \mathcal{F} , the inclusion $f^*\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow f^*T_X$ induces an inclusion

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i) \cong f^*\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow f^*T_X/T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus d} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus(n-d-1)}.$$

Thus $a_i \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r_{\mathcal{F}}$, and

$$-K_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \ell = \sum_{i=1}^{r_{\mathcal{F}}} a_i \leq d = -K_X \cdot \ell - 2.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Definition 21. Let \mathcal{F} be an algebraically integrable foliation on a complex projective manifold X . Let $i : \tilde{F} \rightarrow X$ be the normalization of the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{F} . There is an effective divisor $\tilde{\Delta}$ on \tilde{F} such that $K_{\tilde{F}} + \tilde{\Delta} \sim i^*K_{\mathcal{F}}$ ([AD13, Definition 3.4]). The pair $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta})$ is called a *general log leaf* of \mathcal{F} .

In [AD13], we applied the notions of singularities of pairs, developed in the context of the minimal model program, to the log leaf $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta})$. The case when $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta})$ is *log canonical* is specially interesting. We refer to [KM98, section 2.3] for the definition of log canonical pairs. Here we only remark that if \tilde{F} is smooth and $\tilde{\Delta}$ is a reduced simple normal crossing divisor, then $(\tilde{F}, \tilde{\Delta})$ is log canonical.

Proposition 22 ([AD13, Proposition 5.3]). *Let \mathcal{F} be an algebraically integrable Fano foliation on a complex projective manifold X . Suppose that the general log leaf of \mathcal{F} is log canonical. Then there is a common point contained in the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{F} .*

2.3. Fano Foliations with large index on \mathbb{P}^n and Q^n .

Jouanolou's classification of codimension 1 foliations on \mathbb{P}^n of degree 0 and 1 has been generalized to arbitrary rank in [DC05] and [LPT13], respectively. The *degree* $\deg(\mathcal{F})$ of a foliation \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}^n is defined as the degree of the locus of tangency of \mathcal{F} with a general linear subspace $\mathbb{P}^{n-r_{\mathcal{F}}} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. By 10, a codimension q foliation on \mathbb{P}^n of degree d is given by a twisted q -form $\omega \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^q(q+d+1))$. One easily checks that

$$\deg(\mathcal{F}) = \deg(K_{\mathcal{F}}) + r_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

23 ([DC05, Théorème 3.8]). A codimension q foliation of degree 0 on \mathbb{P}^n is induced by a linear projection $\mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^q$.

24 ([LPT13, Theorem 6.2]). A codimension q foliation \mathcal{F} of degree 1 on \mathbb{P}^n satisfies one of the following conditions.

- \mathcal{F} is induced by a dominant rational map $\mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(1^q, 2)$, defined by q linear forms L_1, \dots, L_q and one quadratic form Q ; or
- \mathcal{F} is the linear pullback of a foliation on \mathbb{P}^{q+1} induced by a global holomorphic vector field.

In the first case, \mathcal{F} is induced by the q -form on \mathbb{C}^{n+1}

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \sum_{i=1}^q (-1)^{i+1} L_i dL_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dL_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dL_q \wedge dQ + (-1)^q 2Q dL_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dL_q \\ &= (-1)^q \left(\sum_{i=q+1}^{n+1} L_i \frac{\partial Q}{\partial L_i} \right) dL_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dL_q \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{j=q+1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} L_i \frac{\partial Q}{\partial L_j} dL_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dL_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dL_q \wedge dL_j, \end{aligned}$$

where L_{q+1}, \dots, L_{n+1} are linear forms such that L_1, \dots, L_{n+1} are linearly independent. The singular locus of \mathcal{F} is the union of the quadric $\{L_1 = \cdots = L_q = Q = 0\} \cong Q^{n-q-1}$ and the linear subspace $\{\frac{\partial Q}{\partial L_{q+1}} = \cdots = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial L_{n+1}} = 0\}$.

In the second, case the singular locus of \mathcal{F} is the union of linear subspaces of codimension at least 2 containing the center \mathbb{P}^{n-q-2} of the projection.

25 ([AD14, Proposition 3.17]). A codimension q del Pezzo foliation on a smooth quadric hypersurface $Q^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is induced by the restriction of a linear projection $\mathbb{P}^{n+1} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^q$.

3. CODIMENSION 1 MUKAI FOLIATIONS ON FANO MANIFOLDS WITH $\rho = 1$

3.1. Fano manifolds of high index.

A *Fano manifold* X is a complex projective manifold whose anti-canonical class $-K_X$ is ample. The index ι_X of X is the largest integer dividing $-K_X$ in $\text{Pic}(X)$. By Kobayashi-Ochiai's theorem ([KO73]), $\iota_X \leq n+1$, equality holds if and only if $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$, and $\iota_X = n$ if and only if $X \cong Q^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$.

Fano manifolds with $\iota_X = \dim X - 1$ were classified by Fujita in [Fuj80], [Fuj81] and [Fuj84]. Those with Picard number 1 are isomorphic to one of the following.

- (1) A cubic hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} .
- (2) An intersection of two hyperquadrics in \mathbb{P}^{n+2} .
- (3) A linear section of the Grassmannian $G(2, 5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ under the Plücker embedding.
- (4) A hypersurface of degree 4 in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(2, 1, \dots, 1)$.

(5) A hypersurface of degree 6 in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(3, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$.

A Fano manifold X such that $(\dim X - 1)$ divides ι_X is called a *del Pezzo* manifold. In this case, either $X \cong \mathbb{P}^3$, or $\iota_X = \dim X - 1$.

Fano manifolds with $\iota_X = \dim X - 2$ are called *Mukai* manifolds. Their classification was first announced in [Muk92]. We do not include it here. Instead, we refer to [AC12, Theorem 7] for the full list of Mukai manifolds with Picard number 1, and state below the property that we need. This property can be checked directly for each Mukai manifold in the list.

Remark 26. Let X be a Mukai manifold with $\rho(X) = 1$ and $\dim X \geq 4$. Denote by \mathcal{L} the ample generator of $\text{Pic}(X)$. Then X is covered by rational curves having degree 1 with respect to \mathcal{L} .

If a complex projective manifold X with $\rho(X) = 1$ admits a Fano foliation \mathcal{F} , then X is a Fano manifold with index $\iota_X > \iota_{\mathcal{F}}$ by Theorem 18. When $\iota_{\mathcal{F}}$ is high, we can improve this bound.

Lemma 27. *Let X be an n -dimensional Fano manifold with Picard number 1, $n \geq 4$, and \mathcal{F} a Fano foliation on X . Suppose that $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} \geq n - 3$. Then $\iota_X \geq \iota_{\mathcal{F}} + 2$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3, $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} \leq r_{\mathcal{F}}$, and equality holds only if $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. If $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} = r_{\mathcal{F}} - 1 = n - 2$, then either $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ or $X \cong Q^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ by Theorem 4. In all these cases we have $\iota_X \geq \iota_{\mathcal{F}} + 2$. So we may assume from now on that $\iota_{\mathcal{F}} = n - 3$ and $r_{\mathcal{F}} \in \{n - 2, n - 1\}$.

Let \mathcal{L} be the ample generator of $\text{Pic}(X)$. Let H be a minimal dominating family of rational curves on X , and ℓ a general curve parametrized by H . By [CMSB02], $-K_X \cdot \ell = n + 1$ if and only if $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. So we may assume that $-K_X \cdot \ell \leq n$. Set $\lambda := \mathcal{L} \cdot \ell$.

By [Hwa98, Proposition 2], ℓ is not tangent to \mathcal{F} . Hence, by Lemma 20,

$$\lambda(n - 3) = -K_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \ell \leq -K_X \cdot \ell - 2 \leq n - 2.$$

If $\lambda > 1$, then $\lambda = 2$, $n = 4$ and $\iota_X = 2$, contradicting Remark 26. So we conclude that $\lambda = 1$, and thus

$$\iota_X = -K_X \cdot \ell \geq -K_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \ell + 2 = \iota_{\mathcal{F}} + 2.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

3.2. Del Pezzo foliations of codimension 2.

When proving Theorem 5, we distinguish the cases when the codimension 1 Mukai foliation \mathcal{F} is semi-stable or not. When \mathcal{F} is not semi-stable, we will show that it contains a codimension 2 del Pezzo subfoliation. The aim of this subsection is to provide a classification of these.

Theorem 28. *Let X be an n -dimensional Fano manifold with $\rho(X) = 1$, $n \geq 4$, and \mathcal{G} a codimension 2 del Pezzo foliation on X . Then the pair (X, \mathcal{G}) satisfies one of the following conditions.*

- (1) $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ and \mathcal{G} is the pullback under a linear projection of a foliation on \mathbb{P}^3 induced by a global vector field.
- (2) $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ and \mathcal{G} is induced by a rational map $\mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(2, 1, 1)$ defined by one quadratic form and two linear forms.
- (3) $X \cong Q^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ and \mathcal{G} is induced by the restriction of a linear projection $\mathbb{P}^{n+1} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$.

Throughout this subsection, we will use the following notation.

Notation. Let X be an n -dimensional Fano manifold with $\rho(X) = 1$ and \mathcal{L} an ample line bundle on X such that $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{L}]$. Given a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules \mathcal{E} on X and an integer m , we denote by $\mathcal{E}(m)$ the twisted sheaf $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes m}$.

Under the assumptions of Theorem 28, \mathcal{G} is defined by a nonzero section $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^2(\iota_X - n + 3))$ as in 10. In order to compute these cohomology groups, we will use the knowledge of several cohomology groups of special Fano manifolds, which we gather below.

29 (Bott's formulae). Let p, q and k be integers, with p and q nonnegative. Then

$$h^p(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^q(k)) = \begin{cases} \binom{k+n-q}{k} \binom{k-1}{q} & \text{for } p = 0, 0 \leq q \leq n \text{ and } k > q, \\ 1 & \text{for } k = 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq p = q \leq n, \\ \binom{-k+q}{-k} \binom{-k-1}{n-q} & \text{for } p = n, 0 \leq q \leq n \text{ and } k < q - n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

30 ([Fle81, Satz 8.11]). Let X be a smooth n -dimensional complete intersection in a weighted projective space. Then

- (1) $h^q(X, \Omega_X^q) = 1$ for $0 \leq q \leq n$, $q \neq \frac{n}{2}$.
- (2) $h^p(X, \Omega_X^q(t)) = 0$ in the following cases
 - $0 < p < n$, $p + q \neq n$ and either $p \neq q$ or $t \neq 0$;
 - $p + q > n$ and $t > q - p$;
 - $p + q < n$ and $t < q - p$.

31. Let X be an n -dimensional Fano manifold with $\rho(X) = 1$. By [ADK08, Theorem 1.1], $h^0(X, \Omega_X^q(\iota_X - n + q)) = 0$ unless $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$, or $X \cong Q^n$ and $q = n$. In particular for a smooth hyperquadric $Q = Q^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 3$, $h^0(Q, \Omega_Q^2(2)) = 0$.

32 ([AD13, Lemma 4.5]). Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a smooth hypersurface of degree $d \geq 3$. Suppose that $q \geq 1$ and $t \leq q \leq n - 2$. Then $h^0(X, \Omega_X^q(t)) = 0$.

33. Let Y be an n -dimensional Fano manifold with $\rho(Y) = 1$, and $X \in |\mathcal{O}_Y(d)|$ a smooth divisor. The following exact sequences will be used to relate foliations on X with foliations on Y .

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Omega_Y^q(t-d) \rightarrow \Omega_Y^q(t) \rightarrow \Omega_Y^q(t)|_X \rightarrow 0, \text{ and}$$

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^{q-1}(t-d) \rightarrow \Omega_Y^q(t)|_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^q(t) \rightarrow 0.$$

By [PW95, Lemma 1.2], if $h^p(Y, \Omega_Y^{q-1}) \neq 0$ and $p + q - 1 < n$, then the map in cohomology induced by the exact sequence (3.2) (with $t = d$)

$$H^p(X, \Omega_X^{q-1}) \rightarrow H^p(X, \Omega_Y^q(d)|_X)$$

is nonzero.

34. Let a_0, \dots, a_n be positive integers such that $\gcd(a_0, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_n) = 1$ for every $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. Denote by $S = S(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ graded by $\deg x_i = a_i$, and by $\mathbb{P} := \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ the weighted projective space $\text{Proj}(S(a_0, \dots, a_n))$. For each $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(t)$ be the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ -module associated to the graded S -module $S(t)$.

Consider the sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ -modules $\overline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^q(t)$ defined in [Dol82, 2.1.5] for $q, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q \geq 0$. If $U \subset \mathbb{P}$ denotes the smooth locus of \mathbb{P} , and $\mathcal{O}_U(t)$ is the line bundle obtained by restricting $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(t)$ to U , then $\overline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^q(t)|_U = \Omega_U^q \otimes \mathcal{O}_U(t)$.

The cohomology groups $H^p(\mathbb{P}, \overline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^q(t))$ are described in [Dol82, 2.3.2]. We will need the following:

- $h^0(\mathbb{P}, \overline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^q(t)) = \sum_{i=0}^q (-1)^{i+q} \sum_{\#J=i} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(S_{t-a_J})$, where $J \subset \{0, \dots, n\}$ and $a_J := \sum_{i \in J} a_i$.
- $h^p(\mathbb{P}, \overline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^q(t)) = 0$ if $p \notin \{0, q, n\}$.

Now suppose that \mathbb{P} has only isolated singularities, let $d > 0$ be such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(d)$ is a line bundle generated by global sections, and $X \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(d)|$ a smooth hypersurface. We will use the cohomology groups $H^p(\mathbb{P}, \overline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^q(t))$ to compute some cohomology groups $H^p(X, \Omega_X^q(t))$. Note that X is contained in the smooth locus of \mathbb{P} , so we have an exact sequence as in (3.2):

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^{q-1}(t-d) \rightarrow \overline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^q(t)|_X \rightarrow \Omega_X^q(t) \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-d) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0.$$

with the sheaf $\overline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^q(t)$, and noting that $\overline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^q(t) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-d) \cong \overline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^q(t-d)$, we get an exact sequence as in (3.1):

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \overline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^q(t-d) \rightarrow \overline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^q(t) \rightarrow \overline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^q(t)|_X \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 28. By Lemma 27, $\iota_X \geq n - 1$. Recall the classification of Fano manifolds of high index discussed in Subsection 3.1. We will go through the manifolds in that list, and determine all codimension 2 del Pezzo foliations on them.

Suppose first that $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. Then \mathcal{G} is a codimension 2 foliation of degree 1 on \mathbb{P}^n . Such foliations are described in 24.

Suppose that X is a smooth hyperquadric $Q = Q^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. Codimension 2 del Pezzo foliation on Q^n are described in 25.

From now on we suppose that $\iota_X = n-1$. We consider the 5 possibilities for X described in Subsection 3.1. If we show that $h^0(X, \Omega_X^2(2)) = 0$, then it follows from 10 that X does not admit del Pezzo foliations of codimension 2.

- **X is a cubic hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} .** The vanishing $h^0(X, \Omega_X^2(2)) = 0$ follows from 32 above.
- **X is the intersection of two hyperquadrics in \mathbb{P}^{n+2} .**

Let Q and Q' be smooth hyperquadrics in \mathbb{P}^{n+2} such that $X = Q \cap Q'$. Consider the exact sequences of 33 for $Y = Q$, $d = 2$, $q = 2$ and $t = 2$. They induce maps of cohomology groups:

$$H^0(Q, \Omega_Q^2(2)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_Q^2(2)|_X) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^2(2)).$$

We will show that the composed map $H^0(Q, \Omega_Q^2(2)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^2(2))$ is surjective. Since $h^0(Q, \Omega_Q^2(2)) = 0$ by 31, the required vanishing $h^0(X, \Omega_X^2(2)) = 0$ will follow.

Surjectivity of the first map $H^0(Q, \Omega_Q^2(2)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_Q^2(2)|_X)$ follows from the vanishing of $H^1(Q, \Omega_Q^2)$ granted by 30. To prove surjectivity of the second map, we consider the long exact sequence in cohomology associated to the sequence (3.2). By 30, $H^1(Q, \Omega_Q^1) \cong \mathbb{C}$. So, as we noted in 33 above, the map $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Omega_Q^1(2)|_X)$ is nonzero. Since $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1) \cong \mathbb{C}$ by 30, we conclude that the map $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Omega_Q^1(2)|_X)$ is injective, and thus the map $H^0(X, \Omega_Q^2(2)|_X) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^2(2))$ is surjective.

- **X is a linear section of the Grassmannian $G(2, 5) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ of codimension $c \leq 2$.**

We will show that X does not admit del Pezzo foliations of codimension 2. By 13 and 31, it is enough to prove this in the case $c = 2$.

By [Fuj81, Theorem 10.26], X can be described as follows. There is a plane $\mathbb{P}^2 \cong P \subset X$ such that the blow-up $f : Y \rightarrow X$ of X along P admits a morphism $g : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$. Moreover, g is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^4 along a rational normal curve C of degree 3 contained in an hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. Denote by E and F the exceptional loci of f and g , respectively. Then $q(E) = H$, $f^*\mathcal{O}_X(1) \cong g^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-F)$, and $g^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(E+F)$.

Suppose that X admits a codimension 2 del Pezzo foliation \mathcal{G} , which is defined by a twisted 2-form $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^2(2))$. Then ω induces a twisted 2-form $\alpha \in H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^2 \otimes f^*\mathcal{O}_X(2)) \cong H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^2 \otimes g^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(4) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-2F))$. The restriction of α to $Y \setminus F$ induces a twisted 2-form $\tilde{\alpha} \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^4, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}^2(4))$ vanishing along C . Denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ the foliation on \mathbb{P}^4 induced by $\tilde{\alpha}$. There are two possibilities:

- Either $\tilde{\alpha}$ vanishes along H , and hence $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is a degree 0 foliation on \mathbb{P}^4 ; or
- $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is a degree 1 foliation on \mathbb{P}^4 containing C in its singular locus.

In the first case, α vanishes along E , and thus $\alpha \in H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^2 \otimes f^*\mathcal{O}_X(2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-E)) \cong H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^2 \otimes g^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(3) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-F))$. Therefore C must be tangent to $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, which is impossible since $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is induced by a linear projection $\mathbb{P}^4 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$.

To see that the second case cannot occur either, recall the description of the two types of codimension 2 degree 1 foliations on \mathbb{P}^4 from 24. In all these foliations, any irreducible component of the singular locus is either a linear subspace of dimension at most 2, or a conic. This proves the claim.

- **X is a hypersurface of degree 4 in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(2, 1, \dots, 1)$ or a hypersurface of degree 6 in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(3, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$.**

Consider the exact sequences of 34 for $\mathbb{P} := \mathbb{P}(2, 1, \dots, 1)$, $d = 4$, $q = 2$ and $t = 2$ (respectively $\mathbb{P} := \mathbb{P}(3, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$, $d = 6$, $q = 2$ and $t = 2$). They induce maps of cohomology groups:

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}, \bar{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(2)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \bar{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(2)|_X) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^2(2)).$$

We will show that the composed map $H^0(\mathbb{P}, \bar{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(2)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^2(2))$ is surjective. Since $h^0(\mathbb{P}, \bar{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(2)) = 0$ by Dolgachev's formulae described in 34, the required vanishing $h^0(X, \Omega_X^2(2)) = 0$ follows.

Surjectivity of the first map $H^0(\mathbb{P}, \overline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(2)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \overline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(2)|_X)$ follows from the vanishing of $H^1(\mathbb{P}, \overline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(2-d))$, granted by Dolgachev's formulae. Surjectivity of the second map $H^0(X, \overline{\Omega}_{\mathbb{P}}^2(2)|_X) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^2(2))$ follows from the vanishing of $H^1(X, \Omega_X^1(2-d))$, granted by 30. \square

3.3. Proof of Theorem 5.

Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension 1 Mukai foliation on an n -dimensional complex projective manifold $X \not\cong \mathbb{P}^n$ with $\rho(X) = 1$, $n \geq 4$. We will consider two cases, according to whether or not \mathcal{F} is semi-stable.

Lemma 35 ([AD13, Proposition 7.5]). *Let \mathcal{F} be a Fano foliation on a Fano manifold X with $\rho(X) = 1$. Then one of the following holds.*

- (1) *Either \mathcal{F} is semi-stable; or*
- (2) *there exists a Fano subfoliation $\mathcal{G} \subsetneq \mathcal{F}$ such that $\iota_{\mathcal{G}} \geq \iota_{\mathcal{F}}$.*

Suppose first that \mathcal{F} is semi-stable, and denote by \mathcal{L} the ample generator of $\text{Pic}(X)$. In this case, we will use the following result to classify the possible pairs (X, \mathcal{F}) .

Lemma 36 ([LPT13, Proposition 3.5]). *Let X be a Fano manifold with $\rho(X) = 1$, and \mathcal{F} a semi-stable codimension 1 Fano foliation on X . Then \mathcal{F} is induced by a dominant rational map of the form*

$$\varphi = (s_1^{\otimes m_1} : s_2^{\otimes m_2}) : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1,$$

where m_1 and m_2 are relatively prime positive integers, and s_1 and s_2 are sections of line bundles \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 such that $\mathcal{L}_1^{\otimes m_1} \cong \mathcal{L}_2^{\otimes m_2}$, and $\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}_2 \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X + K_{\mathcal{F}})$.

In our setting, Lemma 36 says that there are positive integers λ and $a \geq b$ such that a and b relatively prime, $\lambda(a+b) = \iota_X - \iota_{\mathcal{F}}$, and \mathcal{F} is induced by a pencil of hypersurfaces generated by $b \cdot F$ and $a \cdot G$, where $F \in |\mathcal{L}^{\otimes \lambda a}|$ and $G \in |\mathcal{L}^{\otimes \lambda b}|$.

If $X \cong Q^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, then $a = 2$ and $b = 1$. Thus \mathcal{F} is cut out by a pencil of hyperquadrics of \mathbb{P}^{n+1} containing a double hyperplane.

If X is a del Pezzo manifold, then $a = b = 1$, and \mathcal{F} is induced by a pencil in $|\mathcal{L}|$.

From now on, we assume that \mathcal{F} is not semi-stable. By Lemma 35, there exists a Fano subfoliation $\mathcal{G} \subsetneq \mathcal{F}$ such that $\iota_{\mathcal{G}} \geq n - 3$. By Theorem 3, $\iota_{\mathcal{G}} = n - 3$, and $r_{\mathcal{G}} = n - 2$, i.e., \mathcal{G} is a codimension 2 del Pezzo foliation on X . By Theorem 28, $X \cong Q^n \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, and \mathcal{G} is induced by the restriction to Q^n of a linear projection $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. By (2.2), \mathcal{F} is the pullback via $\varphi|_{Q^n}$ of a foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 induced by a global vector field. This completes the proof of Theorem 5. \square

4. CODIMENSION 1 MUKAI FOLIATIONS ON MANIFOLDS WITH $\rho > 1$

In this section we prove Theorem 7. Our setup is the following.

Assumptions 37. Let X be an n -dimensional complex projective manifold with $\rho(X) > 1$, and \mathcal{F} a codimension 1 Mukai foliation on X ($n \geq 4$). Let L be an ample divisor on X such that $-K_{\mathcal{F}} \sim (n-3)L$, and set $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_X(L)$.

Under Assumptions 37, Theorem 18 implies $K_X + (n-3)L$ is not nef. Smooth polarized varieties (X, L) satisfying this condition have been classified. We explain this classification in Subsection 4.1, and then use it in the following subsections to prove Theorem 7.

4.1. Adjunction Theory.

We will need the following classification of Fano manifolds with large index with respect to the dimension. For $n \geq 5$, the list follows from [Wi91]. The classification for $n = 4$ can be found in [IP99, Table 12.7].

Theorem 38. *Let X be an n -dimensional Fano manifold with Picard number $\rho(X) > 1$, $n \geq 4$. Let \mathcal{L} be an ample line bundle such that $\mathcal{O}_X(-K_X) \cong \mathcal{L}^{\otimes \iota_X}$.*

- *If $\iota_X = n - 1$, then $n = 4$ and $(X, \mathcal{L}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$.*
- *If $\iota_X = n - 2$, then $n \in \{4, 5, 6\}$.*
 - (1) *If $n = 6$, then $(X, \mathcal{L}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$.*
 - (2) *If $n = 5$, then one of the following holds.*
 - (a) *$(X, \mathcal{L}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^2 \times Q^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{Q^3}(1))$.*

- (b) $(X, \mathcal{L}) \cong (\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(T_{\mathbb{P}^3}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(T_{\mathbb{P}^3})}(1))$.
- (c) $(X, \mathcal{L}) \cong (\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)^{\oplus 2}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)^{\oplus 2})}(1))$.
- (3) If $n = 4$, then X is isomorphic to one of the following.
 - (a) $\mathbb{P}^1 \times Y$, where Y is a Fano 3-fold with index 2, or $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^3$.
 - (b) A double cover of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ whose branch locus is a divisor of bidegree $(2, 2)$.
 - (c) A divisor of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^3$ of bidegree $(1, 2)$.
 - (d) An intersection of two divisors of bidegree $(1, 1)$ on $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^3$.
 - (e) A divisor of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times Q^3$ of bidegree $(1, 1)$.
 - (f) The blow-up of Q^4 along a conic C which is not contained in a plane in Q^4 .
 - (g) $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(\mathcal{E})$, where \mathcal{E} is the null-correlation bundle on \mathbb{P}^3 .
 - (h) The blow-up of Q^4 along a line ℓ .
 - (i) $\mathbb{P}_{Q^3}(\mathcal{O}_{Q^3}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Q^3})$.
 - (j) $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$.

39 (Nef values). Let X be \mathbf{Q} -factorial terminal n -dimensional projective variety, and L an ample \mathbf{Q} -divisor on X . The *nef value* of L is defined as

$$\tau(L) := \min \{ t \geq 0 \mid K_X + tL \text{ is nef} \}.$$

It is a rational number by the rationality theorem ([KM98, Theorem 3.5]). By the basepoint free theorem ([KM98, Theorem 3.7.3]), for m sufficiently large and divisible, the linear system $|m(K_X + \tau(L)L)|$ defines a morphism $\varphi_L : X \rightarrow X'$ with connected fibers onto a normal variety. We refer to φ_L as the *nef value morphism* of the polarized variety (X, L) .

The next theorem summarizes the classification of smooth polarized varieties (X, L) such that $K_X + (n - 3)L$ is not nef, i.e., $\tau(L) > n - 3$.

Theorem 40. *Let (X, L) be an n -dimensional smooth polarized variety, with $\rho(X) > 1$ and $n \geq 4$. Set $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_X(L)$. Suppose that $\tau(L) > n - 3$. Then $\tau(L) \in \{n - 2, n - 1, n\}$, unless $(n, \tau(L)) \in \{(5, \frac{5}{2}), (4, \frac{3}{2}), (4, \frac{4}{3})\}$.*

- (1) Suppose that $\tau(L) = n$. Then φ_L makes X a \mathbb{P}^{n-1} -bundle over a smooth curve C , and for a general fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ of φ_L , $\mathcal{L}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1)$.
- (2) Suppose that $\tau(L) = n - 1$. Then (X, \mathcal{L}) satisfies one of the following conditions.
 - (a) $(X, \mathcal{L}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$.
 - (b) φ_L makes X a quadric bundle over a smooth curve C , and for a general fiber $F \cong Q^{n-1}$ of φ_L , $\mathcal{L}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{Q^{n-1}}(1)$.
 - (c) φ_L makes X a \mathbb{P}^{n-2} -bundle over a smooth surface S , and for a general fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ of φ_L , $\mathcal{L}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-2}}(1)$.
 - (d) φ_L is the blowup of a smooth projective variety at finitely many points, and for any component $E \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ of the exceptional locus of φ_L , $\mathcal{L}|_E \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1)$.
- (3) Suppose that $\tau(L) = n - 2$. Then (X, \mathcal{L}) satisfies one of the following conditions.
 - (a) $-K_X \sim (n - 2)L$, and (X, \mathcal{L}) is as in Theorem 38.
 - (b) φ_L makes X a generic del Pezzo fibration over a smooth curve C , and, for a general fiber F of φ_L , either $\text{Pic}(F) = \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{L}|_F]$, or $(F, \mathcal{L}|_F) \cong (\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$.
 - (c) φ_L makes X a generic quadric bundle over a normal surface S , and, for a general fiber $F \cong Q^{n-2}$ of φ_L , $\mathcal{L}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{Q^{n-2}}(1)$.
 - (d) φ_L makes X a generic \mathbb{P}^{n-3} -bundle over a normal 3-fold Y , and, for a general fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-3}$ of φ_L , $\mathcal{L}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-3}}(1)$.
 - (e) $\varphi_L : X \rightarrow X'$ is the composition of finitely many disjoint divisorial contractions. In particular, X' is \mathbf{Q} -factorial and terminal. (See Proposition 41 below.)
- (4) Suppose that $n = 5$ and $\tau(L) = \frac{5}{2}$. Then φ_L makes X a \mathbb{P}^4 -bundle over a smooth curve C and, for a general fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^4$ of φ_L , $\mathcal{L}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2)$.
- (5) Suppose that $n = 4$ and $\tau(L) = \frac{3}{2}$. Then (X, \mathcal{L}) satisfies one of the following conditions.
 - (a) $(X, \mathcal{L}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2))$.
 - (b) φ_L makes X a generic quadric bundle over a smooth curve C , and for a general fiber $F \cong Q^3$ of φ_L , $\mathcal{L}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{Q^3}(2)$.

- (c) φ_L makes X a generic \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle over a normal surface S , and for a general fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ of φ_L , $\mathcal{L}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$.
- (6) Suppose that $n = 4$ and $\tau(L) = \frac{4}{3}$. Then φ_L makes X a \mathbb{P}^3 -bundle over a smooth curve C , and for a general fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^3$ of φ_L , $\mathcal{L}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(3)$.

Proof. The main references for the proof of Theorem 40 are [BS95, Chapter 7] and [AW01].

By [BS95, Proposition 7.2.2, Theorems 7.2.3 and 7.2.4], either $\tau(L) = n$ and (X, L) is as in (1) above, or $\tau(L) \leq n - 1$.

Suppose that $\tau(L) \leq n - 1$. By [BS95, Theorems 7.3.2 and 7.3.4], one of the following holds.

- (2a) $-K_X \sim (n - 1)L$, and hence $(X, \mathcal{L}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$ by Theorem 38.
- (2b) φ_L makes X a generic quadric bundle over a smooth curve C , and for a general fiber $F \cong Q^{n-1}$ of φ_L , $\mathcal{L}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{Q^{n-1}}(1)$.
- (2c) φ_L makes X a generic \mathbb{P}^{n-2} -bundle over a normal surface S , and for a general fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ of φ_L , $\mathcal{L}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-2}}(1)$.
- (2d) φ_L is the blowup of a smooth projective variety at finitely many points, and for any component $E \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ of the exceptional locus of φ_L , $\mathcal{L}|_E \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1)$.
- (e) $\tau(L) \leq n - 2$.

In case (2b), it follows from [AW01, Theorem 5.1] that X is in fact a quadric bundle over C . In case (2c), it follows from [AW01, Theorem 5.1] that S is smooth and X is in fact a \mathbb{P}^{n-2} -bundle over S .

If $\tau(L) = n - 2$, the classification under (3) follows from [BS95, Theorem 7.5.3].

If $\tau(L) < n - 2$, then, by [BS95, Theorems 7.7.2, 7.7.3, 7.7.5 and 7.7.8] $(n, \tau(L)) \in \{(5, \frac{5}{2}), (4, \frac{3}{2}), (4, \frac{4}{3})\}$ and (X, L) is as in (4-6) above. \square

We will also need the following result.

Proposition 41 ([BS95, Theorems 7.5.3, 7.5.6, 7.7.2, 7.7.3, 7.7.5 and 7.7.8]). *Let (X, L) be an n -dimensional smooth polarized variety, $n \geq 4$. Suppose that $\tau(L) = n - 2$, and the nef value morphism $\varphi_L : X \rightarrow X'$ is birational. Then φ_L is the composition of finitely many disjoint divisorial contractions $\varphi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$, with exceptional divisor E_i , of the following types:*

- $\varphi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$ is the blowup of a smooth curve $C_i \subset X_i$. In this case X_i is smooth and the restriction of \mathcal{L} to a fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ of $(\varphi_i)|_{E_i} : E_i \rightarrow C_i$ satisfies $\mathcal{L}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-2}}(1)$.
- $(E_i, \mathcal{N}_{E_i/X}, \mathcal{L}|_{E_i}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(-2), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1))$. In this case X_i is 2-factorial. In even dimension it is Gorenstein.
- $(E_i, \mathcal{N}_{E_i/X}, \mathcal{L}|_{E_i}) \cong (Q^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}_{Q^{n-1}}(-1), \mathcal{O}_{Q^{n-1}}(1))$. In this case X_i is singular and factorial.

Set $L' := (\varphi_L)_*(L)$. Then $K_{X'} + (n - 3)L'$ is nef except in the following cases.

- (1) $n = 6$ and $(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')) \cong (\mathbb{P}^6, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(2))$.
- (2) $n = 5$ and one of the following holds.
 - (a) $(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')) \cong (Q^5, \mathcal{O}_{Q^5}(2))$.
 - (b) X' is a \mathbb{P}^4 -bundle over a smooth curve, and the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')$ to a general fiber is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2)$.
 - (c) $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \cong (\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1))$.
- (3) $n = 4$ and one of the following holds.
 - (a) $(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')) \cong (\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(3))$.
 - (b) X' is a Gorenstein del Pezzo 4-fold and $3L' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -2K_{X'}$.
 - (c) $\varphi_{L'}$ makes X' a generic quadric bundle over a smooth curve C , and for a general fiber $F \cong Q^3$ of $\varphi_{L'}$, $\mathcal{O}_F(L'|_F) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Q^3}(2)$.
 - (d) $\varphi_{L'}$ makes X' a generic \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle over a normal surface S , and for a general fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ of $\varphi_{L'}$, $\mathcal{O}_F(L'|_F) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$.
 - (e) $(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')) \cong (Q^4, \mathcal{O}_{Q^4}(3))$.
 - (f) $\varphi_L : X \rightarrow X'$ factors through \tilde{X} , the blowup of \mathbb{P}^4 along a cubic surface contained in a hyperplane $E \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. Denote by $\tilde{E} \subset \tilde{X}$ the strict transform of E , and by \tilde{L} the push-forward of L to \tilde{X} . Then $N_{\tilde{E}/\tilde{X}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)$, $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(\tilde{L}|_{\tilde{E}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$, and only \tilde{E} is contracted by $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X'$.

- (g) $\varphi_L : X \rightarrow X'$ factors through \tilde{X} , a conic bundle over \mathbb{P}^3 . Denote by \tilde{L} the push-forward of L to \tilde{X} . The morphism $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X'$ only contracts a subvariety $\tilde{E} \cong \mathbb{P}^3$ such that $N_{\tilde{E}/\tilde{X}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}(\tilde{L}|_{\tilde{E}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$.
- (h) $\varphi_{L'}$ makes X' a \mathbb{P}^3 -bundle over a smooth curve C , and for a general fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^3$ of $\varphi_{L'}$, $\mathcal{O}_F(L'|_F) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(3)$.
- (i) $(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')) \cong (\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(4))$.
- (j) $X' \subset \mathbb{P}^{10}$ is a cone over $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2))$ and $L' \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} 2H$, where H denotes a hyperplane section in \mathbb{P}^{10} .

Remark 42. In [BS95, Theorems 7.5.3], the description of the first type of divisorial contraction is as follows: X_i is smooth, and $\varphi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$ contracts a smooth divisor $E_i \subset X$ onto a smooth curve $C_i \subset X_i$. By [Luo93, Theorem 2], φ_i is a smooth blowup.

4.2. Codimension 1 Mukai foliations on projective space bundles over curves.

In this subsection, we work under Assumptions 37, supposing moreover that $\tau(L) = n$, and thus φ_L makes X a \mathbb{P}^{n-1} -bundle over a smooth curve C . We start with the following observation, which is a special case of Proposition 22.

Proposition 43. *Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension 1 Fano foliation on a smooth projective variety X . Then \mathcal{F} is not the relative tangent sheaf of any surjective morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow C$ onto a smooth curve.*

As a consequence of Proposition 43, $\mathcal{H} := T_{X/C} \cap \mathcal{F}$ is a codimension 2 foliation on X . The restriction of \mathcal{H} to the general fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ of φ_L inherits positivity of \mathcal{F} , which allows us to describe it explicitly. In order to do so, we recall the description of families of degree 0 foliations on projective spaces from [AD13, 7.8].

44 (Families of degree 0 foliations on \mathbb{P}^m). Let Y be a positive dimensional smooth projective variety, and \mathcal{E} a locally free sheaf of rank $m+1 \geq 2$ on Y . Set $X := \mathbb{P}_Y(\mathcal{E})$, denote by $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ the tautological line bundle on X , by $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ the natural projection, and by $F \cong \mathbb{P}^m$ a general fiber of π . Let $\mathcal{H} \subsetneq T_{X/Y}$ be a foliation of rank $r \leq m-1$ on X , and suppose that $\mathcal{H}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(1)^{\oplus r} \subset T_{\mathbb{P}^m}$.

Let \mathcal{V}^* be the saturation of $\pi_*(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-1))$ in $\mathcal{E}^* \cong \pi_*(T_{X/Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-1))$, and set $\mathcal{V} := (\mathcal{V}^*)^*$. Then $\mathcal{H} \cong (\pi^*\mathcal{V}^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1)$. In particular, $\det(\mathcal{H}) \cong \pi^*\det(\mathcal{V}^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(r)$.

The description of $\mathcal{H}|_F$ as the relative tangent sheaf of a linear projection $\mathbb{P}^m \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m-r}$ globalizes as follows. Let \mathcal{H} be the (rank $m+1-r$) kernel of the dual map $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$. Then there exists an open subset $Y^\circ \subset Y$, with $\text{codim}_Y(Y \setminus Y^\circ) \geq 2$, over which we have an exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}|_{Y^\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{Y^\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}|_{Y^\circ} \rightarrow 0.$$

This induces a relative linear projection $\varphi : \mathbb{P}_{Y^\circ}(\mathcal{E}|_{Y^\circ}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{Y^\circ}(\mathcal{H}|_{Y^\circ}) =: Z$, which restricts to a smooth morphism $\varphi^\circ : X^\circ \rightarrow Z$, where $X^\circ \subset X$ is an open subset with $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus X^\circ) \geq 2$. The restriction of \mathcal{H} to X° is precisely $T_{X^\circ/Z}$.

Proposition 45. *Let X , \mathcal{F} , L and \mathcal{L} be as in Assumptions 37. Suppose that $\tau(L) = n$, and thus φ_L makes X a \mathbb{P}^{n-1} -bundle over a smooth curve C . Set $\mathcal{E} := (\varphi_L)_*\mathcal{L}$, so that $X \cong \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$. Then one of the following holds.*

- (1) $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, \mathcal{F} is algebraically integrable, and its restriction to a general fiber is induced by a pencil of hyperquadrics in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} containing a double hyperplane.
- (2) There exist
 - an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow 0$ of vector bundles on C , with $\text{rank}(\mathcal{H}) = 3$;
 - a rank 2 foliation \mathcal{G} on $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{H})$, generically transverse to the natural projection $p : \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow C$, satisfying $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong p^*(\det(\mathcal{V}))$ and $r_{\mathcal{G}}^a \geq 1$;
 such that \mathcal{F} is the pullback of \mathcal{G} via the induced relative linear projection $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{H})$. In this case, $r_{\mathcal{F}}^a \geq r_{\mathcal{F}} - 1$.
- (3) There exist
 - an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow 0$ of vector bundles on C , with $\text{rank}(\mathcal{H}) = 2$;
 - a foliation by curves \mathcal{G} on $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{H})$, generically transverse to the natural projection $p : \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow C$, and satisfying $\mathcal{G} \cong p^*(\det(\mathcal{V})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})}(-1)$;

such that \mathcal{F} is the pullback of \mathcal{G} via the induced relative linear projection $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{H})$. In this case, $r_{\mathcal{F}}^a \geq r_{\mathcal{F}} - 1$.

Proof. By Proposition 43, $\mathcal{F} \neq T_{X/C}$. So $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{F} \cap T_{X/C}$ is a codimension 2 foliation on X . Set $\mathcal{Q} := (\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{H})^{**}$. It is an invertible subsheaf of $(\varphi_L)^*T_C$, and we have

$$(4.1) \quad \det(\mathcal{H}) \cong \det(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*.$$

We want to describe the codimension 1 foliation \mathcal{H}_F obtained by restricting \mathcal{H} to a general fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ of φ_L . By 13, there exists a non-negative integer b such that

$$-K_{\mathcal{H}_F} = (n - 3 + b)H,$$

where H denotes a hyperplane in $F \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. By Theorem 3, we must have $b \in \{0, 1\}$.

First we suppose that $b = 0$, i.e., \mathcal{H}_F is a degree 1 foliation on \mathbb{P}^{n-1} .

Then $\mathcal{Q}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}$, and thus $\mathcal{Q} \cong (\varphi_L)^*\mathcal{C}$ for some line bundle $\mathcal{C} \subset T_C$ on C . Recall that there are two types codimension 1 degree 1 foliations on \mathbb{P}^{n-1} :

- (i) either \mathcal{H}_F is induced by pencil of hyperquadrics containing a double hyperplane, or
- (ii) \mathcal{H}_F is the linear pullback of a foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 induced by a global holomorphic vector field.

Suppose that we are in case (i). Then \mathcal{H} is algebraically integrable, and its general log leaf is (Q^{n-2}, H) , where $Q^{n-2} \subset F \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is an irreducible (possibly singular) hyperquadric, and H is a hyperplane section. Note that (Q^{n-2}, H) is log canonical, unless Q^{n-2} is a cone over a conic curve and H is a tangent hyperplane through the $(n - 4)$ -dimensional vertex. The latter situation falls under case (ii), treated below. So we may assume that the general log leaf of \mathcal{H} is log canonical. By Proposition 22, $\det(\mathcal{H})$ cannot be ample. By (4.1), we must have $\deg(\mathcal{C}) > 0$, and hence $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.

Next we show that \mathcal{F} is algebraically integrable. It then follows that we are in case (1) in the statement of Proposition 45. Since \mathcal{H} is algebraically integrable, there is a smooth surface S with a generic \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle structure $p : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, and a rational map $\psi : X \dashrightarrow S$ over \mathbb{P}^1 inducing \mathcal{H} . By 11, \mathcal{F} is the pullback via ψ of a rank 1 foliation \mathcal{G} on S . Moreover, there is an inclusion $p^*\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{G}$. It follows from Theorem 16 that the leaves of \mathcal{G} are algebraic, and so are the leaves of \mathcal{F} .

Suppose that we are in case (ii). Then there exists a codimension 3 foliation $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{H}$ whose restriction to $F \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a degree 0 foliation on \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . By 44, there exists an exact sequence of vector bundles on C

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow 0$$

with $\text{rank}(\mathcal{K}) = 3$, such that $\mathcal{W} \cong ((\varphi_L)^*\mathcal{V}^*) \otimes \mathcal{L}$ is the tangent sheaf to the relative linear projection $\varphi : X \cong \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{H})$. Denote by $p : \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow C$ the natural projection. By (2.2), there is a codimension 1 foliation \mathcal{G} on $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{H})$ such that \mathcal{F} is the pullback of \mathcal{G} via φ , and $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong p^*(\det(\mathcal{V}))$. Note that $\det(\mathcal{V})$ is an ample line bundle on C . Thus, applying Theorem 16 to a suitable destabilizing subsheaf of \mathcal{G} , we conclude that $r_{\mathcal{G}}^a \geq 1$. We are in case (2) in the statement of Proposition 45.

From now on we assume that $b = 1$, i.e., \mathcal{H}_F is a degree 0 foliation on \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . By 44, there exists an exact sequence of vector bundles on C

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow 0$$

with $\text{rank}(\mathcal{K}) = 2$, such that $\mathcal{H} \cong ((\varphi_L)^*\mathcal{V}^*) \otimes \mathcal{L}$ is the tangent sheaf to the relative linear projection $\varphi : X \cong \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{H})$. Denote by $p : \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow C$ the natural projection. By (2.2), there is a foliation by curves on $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{H})$

$$\mathcal{G} \cong p^*(\det(\mathcal{V})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})}(-1) \hookrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H})}$$

such that \mathcal{F} is the pullback of \mathcal{G} via φ . We are in case (3) in the statement of Proposition 45. \square

Next we describe the codimension 1 foliations on $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{H})$ that appear in Proposition 45(2).

Proposition 46. *Let \mathcal{K} be a rank 3 vector bundle on a smooth complete curve C , and set $Y := \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{K})$, with natural projection $p : Y \rightarrow C$. Let \mathcal{G} be a rank 2 foliation on Y , generically transverse to $p : Y \rightarrow C$, and satisfying $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong p^*\mathcal{A}$ for some ample line bundle \mathcal{A} on C . Then one of the following holds.*

- (1) *There exist*

- *an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow 0$ of vector bundles on C , with $\text{rank}(\mathcal{K}_1) = 2$;*

- a rank 1 foliation \mathcal{N} on $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{X}_1)$, generically transverse to the natural projection $p_1 : \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{X}_1) \rightarrow C$, and satisfying $\mathcal{N} \cong p_1^*(\mathcal{B}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{X}_1)}(-1)$;
- such that \mathcal{G} is the pullback of \mathcal{N} via the induced relative linear projection $Y = \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{X}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{X}_1)$.
- (2) There exist
- a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $q : S \rightarrow C$;
 - a rational map $\psi : Y \dashrightarrow S$ over C whose restriction to a general fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ of p is given by a pencil of conics containing a double line;
 - a rank 1 foliation \mathcal{N} on S , generically transverse to $q : S \rightarrow C$, and satisfying $\mathcal{N} \cong q^*(T_C(-B))$ for some effective divisor B on C ;
- such that \mathcal{G} is the pullback of \mathcal{N} via ψ . Moreover, the set of critical values of ψ is invariant by \mathcal{N} .
- (3) $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, and there exist
- a rational map $\psi : Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, which restricts to an isomorphism on all but possibly one fiber of $p : Y \rightarrow C$;
 - a rank 1 foliation \mathcal{N} on \mathbb{P}^2 induced by a global vector field;
- such that \mathcal{G} is the pullback of \mathcal{N} via ψ .

Proof. Consider the rank 1 foliation $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{G} \cap T_{Y/C} \subsetneq T_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{X})}$. It induces a rank 1 foliation \mathcal{C}_F on a general fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ of p . By 13, there exists a non-negative integer b such that

$$-K_{\mathcal{C}_F} = bH,$$

where H denotes a hyperplane in $F \cong \mathbb{P}^2$. By Theorem 3, we must have $b \in \{0, 1\}$.

First we suppose that $b = 1$, i.e., \mathcal{C}_F is a degree 0 foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 . The same argument used in the last paragraph of the proof of Proposition 45 shows that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G})$ satisfies condition (1) in the statement of Proposition 46.

From now on we assume that $b = 0$, i.e., \mathcal{C}_F is a degree 1 foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 . It follows that $\mathcal{C}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ and there exists an effective divisor B on C such that $\mathcal{C} \cong p^*(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(K_C + B))$. We will distinguish two cases, depending on whether or not \mathcal{C} is algebraically integrable.

Suppose first that \mathcal{C} is algebraically integrable. Then \mathcal{C}_F is induced by a pencil of conics containing a double line. We will show that \mathcal{G} satisfies condition (2) in the statement of Proposition 46.

Let S be the space of leaves of \mathcal{C} . Then S comes with a natural morphism onto C , whose general fiber parametrizes a pencil of conics in $F \cong \mathbb{P}^2$. We conclude that $S \rightarrow C$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle.

So \mathcal{C} is induced by a rational map $\psi : Y \dashrightarrow S$ over C , and the restriction of ψ to a general fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ of p , $\psi|_F : F \cong \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, is given by a pencil of conics containing a double line $2\ell_F$. Let $R \subset Y$ be the closure of the union of the lines ℓ_F when F runs through general fibers of $p : Y \rightarrow C$. Then $p|_R : R \rightarrow C$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle.

Next we show that R is the singular locus of ψ . Let $F' \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ be a special fiber of p such that $\mathcal{C}|_{F'} \hookrightarrow T_{F'}$ vanishes in codimension one. Then the foliation $\mathcal{C}_{F'}$ on F' induced by \mathcal{C} is a degree 0 foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 , and the cycle in S corresponding to the leaf ℓ of $\mathcal{C}_{F'}$ is $R \cap F' + \ell$. We conclude that R is the singular locus of ψ .

By 11, there is a foliation by curves \mathcal{N} on S such that \mathcal{G} is the pullback of \mathcal{N} via ψ . If $\psi(R)$ is not invariant by \mathcal{N} , then $\psi^*\mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{X})}(R) \otimes p^*\mathcal{O}_C(-K_C - B) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{X})}(R) \otimes \psi^*(q^*\mathcal{O}_C(-K_C - B))$ by (2.1). Thus $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{X})}(R) \cong \psi^*(\mathcal{N} \otimes q^*\mathcal{O}_C(-K_C - B))$, yielding a contradiction. Therefore $\psi(R)$ is invariant by \mathcal{N} . Moreover, $\psi^*\mathcal{N} \cong p^*\mathcal{O}_C(-K_C - B)$.

Suppose from now on that \mathcal{C} is not algebraically integrable, and hence neither is \mathcal{G} . We will show that \mathcal{G} satisfies condition (3) in the statement of Proposition 46.

Let \mathcal{L} be a very ample line bundle on Y . By [AD13, Proposition 7.5], there exists an algebraically integrable subfoliation by curves $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{M} \not\subset T_{Y/C}$, such that $\mathcal{M} \cdot \mathcal{L}^2 \geq \det(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mathcal{L}^2 \geq 1$. Moreover the general leaf of \mathcal{M} is a rational curve. Since $\mathcal{M} \not\subset T_{Y/C}$, the general leaf of \mathcal{M} dominates C , and we conclude that $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.

Next we show that $\mathcal{M} \cong p^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(c)$, with $c \in \{1, 2\}$. Write $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{X})}(a) \otimes p^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(c)$ for some integers a and c . First note that, for a general line $\ell \subset F$, $\mathcal{C}|_\ell \subset \mathcal{G}|_\ell$ is a subbundle. Since $\mathcal{C}|_\ell \cong \det(\mathcal{G})|_\ell \cong \mathcal{O}_\ell$, we must have $\mathcal{G}|_\ell \cong \mathcal{O}_\ell \oplus \mathcal{O}_\ell$. This implies that $a \leq 0$. Now observe that, since \mathcal{G} is not algebraically integrable, \mathcal{M} does not depend on the choice of \mathcal{L} . Therefore $\mathcal{M} \cdot (\mathcal{O}_Y(kF) \otimes \mathcal{L})^2 \geq \det(\mathcal{G}) \cdot (\mathcal{O}_Y(kF) \otimes \mathcal{L})^2 > 0$

for all $k \geq 1$. Thus $\mathcal{M} \cdot F \cdot \mathcal{L} \geq 0$, and hence $a \geq 0$. We conclude that $a = 0$ and $\mathcal{M} \cong p^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(c)$. Since $\mathcal{M} \cdot \mathcal{L}^2 \geq 1$, we have $c \geq 1$. Since $\mathcal{M} \subset p^* T_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, we conclude that $c \in \{1, 2\}$.

If $c = 2$, then \mathcal{M} yields a flat connection on p . Hence, $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d)$ for some integer d , and \mathcal{M} is induced by the projection $\psi : Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$.

Now suppose that $c = 1$. We may assume that \mathcal{K} is of the form $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a_2)$ for integers $a_2 \geq a_1 \geq 0$. Let $\tilde{C} \subset Y$ be the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{M} . We will show that \tilde{C} is a section of p . Suppose to the contrary that \tilde{C} has degree ≥ 2 over \mathbb{P}^1 . By [Dru04, Lemme 1.2 and Corollaire 1.3], \tilde{C} has degree 2 over \mathbb{P}^1 , and \mathcal{M} is regular in a neighbourhood of \tilde{C} . In particular, we have $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{C}/Y} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}$. Write $\tilde{C} \sim 2\sigma + kf$, where σ is the section of p corresponding to the surjection $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a_2)$, and f is a line on a fiber of p . Let $E \subset Y$ be the divisor corresponding to the surjection $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a_2)$, so that $\mathcal{O}_Y(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{K})}(1)$. Since the deformations of \tilde{C} sweep out a dense open subset of Y , we must have

$$(4.2) \quad E \cdot \tilde{C} = -2a_2 + k \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{C}/Y} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}$, we have

$$(4.3) \quad 0 = \deg(\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{C}/Y}) = \deg((T_Y)_{|\tilde{C}}) - 2 = 2 + 2a_1 - 4a_2 + 3k.$$

Equations (4.2) and (4.3) together yield a contradiction, proving that \tilde{C} is a section of p . The map $\mathcal{M} \cong p^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \rightarrow p^* T_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ vanishes exactly along one fiber F_0 of p . This implies that $\mathcal{M} \subset T_Y$ restricts to a regular foliation (with algebraic leaves) over $Y \setminus F_0$. This foliation is induced by a smooth morphism $\psi : Y \setminus F_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, which restricts to an isomorphism on all fibers $F \neq F_0$ of $p : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

In either case, by (11), \mathcal{G} is the pullback via ψ of a rank 1 foliation \mathcal{N} on \mathbb{P}^2 . A straightforward computation shows that $\mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

We construct examples of foliations described in Proposition 46(2).

Example 47. Let C be a smooth complete curve, \mathcal{A} an ample line bundle on C , and $P \in C$. Set $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(K_C + P)$, $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \oplus \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_C$, and $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}$. Suppose that $\deg(\mathcal{L}) \neq 0$.

Let s be a local frame for \mathcal{L} . It induces local frames (k_1, k_2, k_3) and (w_1, w_2) for \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{W} , respectively. We view \mathcal{W} as a subbundle of $\text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{K}$ by mapping w_1 to $k_1 \otimes k_1$, and w_2 to $k_2 \otimes k_2 - k_1 \otimes k_3$. This gives rise to a rational map $\psi : \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{K}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{W})$ such that $\psi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{W})}(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{K})}(2)$. Note that the set σ of critical values of ψ is the section of q corresponding to $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 4} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}$.

Denote by $q : \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow C$ the natural morphism. By [AD13, Lemma 9.5], the inclusion $\mathcal{N} := q^*(T_C(-P)) \hookrightarrow p^* T_C$ lifts to an inclusion $\iota : \mathcal{N} \hookrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{W})}$. We claim that the cokernel of ι is torsion-free, and thus it defines a foliation on $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{W})$. Indeed, if $T_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{W})}/\mathcal{N}$ is not torsion-free, then we get an inclusion $\mathcal{N} \subset T_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{W})} \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}_C(-P)$ (see [AD13, Lemma 9.7]). Thus $p^* T_C \cong \mathcal{N} \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}_C(P) \subset T_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{W})}$, and the natural exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{W})/C} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{W})} \rightarrow p^* T_C \rightarrow 0$$

splits. This implies that \mathcal{K} admits a flat projective connection, which is absurd. This proves the claim. An easy computation shows that $\deg(\omega_\sigma \otimes \mathcal{N}_\sigma) = -\deg(\mathcal{A}) - \deg(\mathcal{L}) < 0$, and thus σ is invariant under \mathcal{N} .

Now set $\mathcal{G} := \psi^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$. Then \mathcal{G} is a rank 2 foliation on $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{K})$, generically transverse to the natural projection $p : \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow C$, and satisfies $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong p^* \mathcal{A}$.

Next we construct examples of foliations described in Proposition 45(3).

Example 48. Let C be a smooth complete curve and \mathcal{V} an ample vector bundle of rank $n - 2$ on C . Let \mathcal{K}_0 be a vector bundle of rank 2 on C , and suppose that \mathcal{K}_0 does not admit a flat projective connection. Choose a sufficiently ample line bundle \mathcal{A} on C such that the following conditions hold:

- (1) $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}_0 \otimes \mathcal{A}$ is an ample vector bundle;
- (2) there is a nowhere vanishing section $\alpha \in H^0(C, T_C \otimes \det(\mathcal{V}^*) \otimes \mathcal{K}_0 \otimes \mathcal{A})$; and
- (3) $h^1(C, \det(\mathcal{V}^*) \otimes \text{Sym}^3(\mathcal{K}_0) \otimes \det(\mathcal{K}_0^*) \otimes \mathcal{A}) = 0$.

Set $S := \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{K})$, denote by $p : S \rightarrow C$ the natural projection, and by $\mathcal{O}_S(1)$ the tautological line bundle. The section α from condition (2) yields an inclusion

$$\mathcal{G} := p^*(\det(\mathcal{V})) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-1) \hookrightarrow p^* T_C,$$

which does not vanish identically on any fiber of p . Notice that $\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(B) \cong p^*T_C$ for some section B of p . By Lemma 49 below, condition (3) implies that the inclusion $\mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow p^*T_C$ can be lifted to an inclusion

$$\iota : \mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow T_S.$$

We claim that the cokernel of ι is torsion-free, and thus it defines a foliation on S . Indeed, if T_S/\mathcal{G} is not torsion-free, then we get an inclusion $\mathcal{G} \subset T_S(-B)$ (see [AD13, Lemma 9.7]). Thus $p^*T_C \cong \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(B) \subset T_S$, and the natural exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_{S/C} \rightarrow T_S \rightarrow p^*T_C \rightarrow 0$$

splits. This implies that \mathcal{K} admits a flat projective connection, contradicting our assumption. This proves the claim.

Now set $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{K}$, $X := \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$, denote by $\pi : X \rightarrow C$ the natural projection, and by $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ the tautological line bundle. Condition (1) above implies that $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ is an ample line bundle on X . The natural quotient $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ defines a relative linear projection $\varphi : X \dashrightarrow S$. Let \mathcal{F} be the codimension 1 foliation on X obtained as pullback of \mathcal{G} via φ . Recall that $T_{X/S} \cong \pi^* \det(\mathcal{V}^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(1)$, and thus, by (2.2),

$$\det(\mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(n-3),$$

i.e., \mathcal{F} is a codimension 1 Mukai foliation on X .

Lemma 49. *Let \mathcal{K} be a vector bundle of rank 2 on a smooth projective curve C , $p : S = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow C$ the corresponding ruled surface, and $\mathcal{O}_S(1)$ the tautological line bundle. Let \mathcal{B} be a line bundle on C such that there is an inclusion $j : p^*\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-1) \hookrightarrow p^*T_C$. If $h^1(C, \mathcal{B} \otimes \text{Sym}^3(\mathcal{K}) \otimes \det(\mathcal{K}^*)) = 0$, then j can be lifted to an inclusion $p^*\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-1) \hookrightarrow T_S$.*

Proof. Let e be the class in $H^1(S, T_{S/C} \otimes p^*\omega_C)$ corresponding to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_{S/C} \rightarrow T_S \rightarrow p^*T_C \rightarrow 0.$$

An inclusion of line bundles $j : \mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow p^*T_C$ extends to an inclusion $\mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow T_S$ if and only if the induced section $j^*e \in H^1(S, T_{S/C} \otimes \mathcal{G}^{-1})$ vanishes identically.

Setting $\mathcal{G} := p^*\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-1)$, we get

$$H^1(S, T_{S/C} \otimes \mathcal{G}^{-1}) = H^1\left(C, p_*(T_{S/C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(1)) \otimes \mathcal{B}\right).$$

Since $T_{S/C} \cong p^*(\det(\mathcal{K}^*)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2)$, this gives

$$H^1(S, T_{S/C} \otimes \mathcal{G}^{-1}) = H^1(C, p_*\mathcal{O}_S(3) \otimes \det(\mathcal{K}^*) \otimes \mathcal{B}) = H^1(C, \text{Sym}^3(\mathcal{K}) \otimes \det(\mathcal{K}^*) \otimes \mathcal{B}).$$

The latter vanishes by assumption, and thus $j : p^*\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-1) \hookrightarrow p^*T_C$ extends to an inclusion $p^*\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-1) \hookrightarrow T_S$. \square

4.3. Codimension 1 Mukai foliations on quadric bundles over curves.

In this subsection, we work under Assumptions 37, supposing moreover that $\tau(L) = n-1$ and φ_L makes X a quadric bundle over a smooth curve C . This is case (2b) of Theorem 40.

We start with two useful observations.

Remark 50. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow C$ be a quadric bundle over a smooth curve, with X smooth. An easy computation shows that the (finitely many) singular fibers of π have only isolated singularities.

Lemma 51. *Let T be a complex variety, and $\varphi : X \rightarrow T$ a flat projective morphism whose fibers are all irreducible and reduced. Let \mathcal{Q} be a line bundle on X such that $\mathcal{Q}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_F$ for a general fiber F of φ . Then there exists a line bundle \mathcal{M} on T such that $\mathcal{Q} \cong \varphi^*\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Let $t \in T$ be any point, and denote by X_t the corresponding fiber of φ . By the semicontinuity theorem, $h^0(X_t, \mathcal{Q}|_{X_t}) \geq 1$, and $h^0(X_t, \mathcal{Q}|_{X_t}^*) \geq 1$. It follows that $\mathcal{Q}|_{X_t} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_t}$, since X_t is irreducible and reduced. By [Har77, Corollary III.12.9], $\mathcal{M} := \varphi_*\mathcal{Q}$ is a line bundle on T , and the evaluation map $\varphi^*\mathcal{M} = \varphi^*\varphi_*\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ is an isomorphism. \square

Proposition 52. *Let X , \mathcal{F} and L be as in Assumptions 37. Suppose that $\tau(L) = n-1$, and φ_L makes X a quadric bundle over a smooth curve C . Then $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, and there exist*

- an exact sequence of vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^1

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow 0,$$

with $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) = n+1$, $\text{rank}(\mathcal{K}) = 2$, and natural projections $\pi : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $q : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$;

- an integer b and a foliation by rational curves $\mathcal{G} \cong q^*(\det(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b))$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{K})$;

such that $X \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E})}(2) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(b)|$, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback of \mathcal{G} via the restriction to X of the relative linear projection $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{K})$. Moreover, one of the following holds.

- (1) $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K}) \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 3}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2})$ for some integer $a \geq 1$, and $b = 2$.
- (2) $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K}) \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2})$ for some integer $a \geq 1$, and $b = 1$.
- (3) $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{K}) \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^{\oplus 2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2})$ for some integer $a \geq 1$, and $b = 0$.
- (4) $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2}$ for some integer a , and \mathcal{E} is an ample vector bundle of rank 5 or 6 with $\deg(\mathcal{E}) = 2 + 2a - b$.
- (5) $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(c)$ for distinct integers a and c , and \mathcal{E} is an ample vector bundle of rank 5 or 6 with $\deg(\mathcal{E}) = 1 + a + c - b$.

In particular, $n \in \{4, 5\}$ and \mathcal{F} is algebraically integrable.

Conversely, given \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{E} and b satisfying any of the conditions (1-5), and a smooth member $X \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E})}(2) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b)|$, there exists a codimension one Mukai foliation on X as described above.

Proof. Denote by $F \cong Q^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ a general (smooth) fiber of φ_L , and recall from Theorem 40(2b) that $\mathcal{L}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{Q^{n-1}}(1)$. Set $\mathcal{E} := (\varphi_L)_*\mathcal{L}$, and denote by $\pi : \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow C$ the natural projection. Then X is a divisor of relative degree 2 on $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$, i.e., $X \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})}(2) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{B}|$ for some line bundle \mathcal{B} on C .

By Proposition 43, $\mathcal{F} \neq T_{X/C}$. So $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{F} \cap T_{X/C}$ is a codimension 2 foliation on X . Set $\mathcal{Q} := (\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{H})^{**}$. It is an invertible subsheaf of $(\varphi_L)^*T_C$, and $\det(\mathcal{H}) \cong \det(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}^*$. Denote by \mathcal{H}_F the codimension 1 foliation on $F \cong Q^{n-1}$ obtained by restriction of \mathcal{H} . By 13, there exists a non-negative integer d such that $-K_{\mathcal{H}_F} = (n-3+d)H$, where H denotes a hyperplane section of $Q^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. By Theorem 3, we must have $d = 0$. Hence,

- \mathcal{H}_F is induced by a pencil of hyperplane sections on $Q^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ by Theorem 4. In particular the general log leaf of \mathcal{H} is log canonical.
- $\det(\mathcal{H})|_F \cong \det(\mathcal{F})|_F$, and thus $\mathcal{Q} \cong (\varphi_L)^*\mathcal{M}$ for some line bundle $\mathcal{M} \subset T_C$ by Lemma 51.

By Proposition 22, $\det(\mathcal{H})$ is not ample. Since $\det(\mathcal{H}) \cong \det(\mathcal{F}) \otimes (\varphi_L)^*(\mathcal{M}^*)$ and $\det(\mathcal{F})$ is ample, the line bundle \mathcal{M} has positive degree. Hence $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b)$ for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\deg(\mathcal{M}) \in \{1, 2\}$.

The linear span of $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{H}_F)$ in \mathbb{P}^n is the base locus of the pencil of hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^n inducing \mathcal{H}_F on $F \cong Q^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. So \mathcal{H} is the restriction to X of a foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E})$ whose restriction to a general fiber of π is a degree zero foliation on \mathbb{P}^n . By (44), there is a sequence of vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^1 ,

$$(4.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow 0,$$

with $\text{rank}(\mathcal{K}) = 2$ and natural projection $q : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, such that $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is induced by the relative linear projection $\tilde{\psi} : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{K})$. So \mathcal{H} is induced by the restriction $\psi = \tilde{\psi}|_X : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{K})$. By Remark 50, there is an open subset $X^\circ \subset X$ with $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus X^\circ) \geq 2$ such that $\psi^\circ = \psi|_{X^\circ} : X^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{K})$ is a smooth morphism with connected fibers. In particular, $\mathcal{H} \cong T_{X/\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K})}$, where $T_{X/\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K})}$ denotes the saturation of $T_{X^\circ/\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K})}$ in T_X . By 11, \mathcal{F} is the pullback via ψ of a rank 1 foliation \mathcal{G} on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{K})$. By (2.2), $\mathcal{G} \cong q^*\mathcal{M}$ and

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-3} \cong \det(\mathcal{F}) \cong \det(T_{X/\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K})}) \otimes (\varphi_L)^*\mathcal{M}.$$

Since $\deg(\mathcal{M}) > 0$, the leaves of \mathcal{G} are rational curves by Theorem 16. A straightforward computation gives $\mathcal{M} \cong \det(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b)$, and so

$$(4.5) \quad \deg(\mathcal{M}) = \deg(\mathcal{V}) + b \in \{1, 2\}.$$

If $\deg(\mathcal{M}) = 2$, i.e., if $\mathcal{M} \cong T_C$, then $q^*\mathcal{M} \subset T_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{K})}$ yields a flat connection on $q : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Hence, $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{K}) \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and \mathcal{G} is induced by the projection to \mathbb{P}^1 transversal to q . In this case, $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2}$ for some integer a . If $\deg(\mathcal{M}) = 1$, then $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(c)$ for distinct integers a and c . This can be seen from the explicit description of the Atiyah classes in the proof of [AD13, Theorem 9.6].

By [Cam04, Theorem 4.13], the vector bundle $(\varphi_L)_* \mathcal{O}_X(K_X/\mathbb{P}^1 + mL) \cong S^{m-n+1} \mathcal{E} \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b)$ is nef for all $m \geq n-1$. Therefore \mathcal{E} is a nef vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 , and we write $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_{n+1})$, with $0 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_{n+1}$.

First suppose that \mathcal{E} is not ample. Let $r \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$ be the largest positive integer such that $a_1 = \cdots = a_r = 0$. Let $\varpi : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E})}(1))^*)$ be the morphism induced by the complete linear system $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E})}(1)|$. If $r = n+1$, then $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n$, and ϖ is induced by the projection morphism $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$. If $r \leq n$, then ϖ is a birational morphism, and its restriction to the exceptional locus $\text{Exc}(\varpi) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_r)) \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ corresponds to the projection $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. Thus, if $Z \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E})$ is any closed subset, then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E})}(1)|_Z$ is ample if and only if Z does not contain any fiber of $\text{Exc}(\varpi) \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E})}(1)|_X \cong \mathcal{L}$ is ample, X does not contain any fiber of $\text{Exc}(\varpi) \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. Since $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E})}(2) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b))|_{\text{Exc}(\varpi)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{r-1}}(2)$ and $X \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E})}(2) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b)|$, we must have $b \geq 0$ and

$$(4.6) \quad h^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b)) = b+1 \geq r.$$

If $\deg(\mathcal{V}) = 0$, then $\mathcal{V} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus(n-1)}$ and the exact sequence (4.4) splits. This implies that $r \geq n-1$. On the other hand, by (4.5) and (4.6), $r \leq b+1 \leq 3$. Thus $n = 4$, $r = 3$, $b = 2$, and $\deg(\mathcal{M}) = 2$. This is case (1) described in the statement of Proposition 52.

If $\deg(\mathcal{V}) \geq 1$, then $b \in \{0, 1\}$ by (4.5).

Suppose that $b = 1$. Then $\deg(\mathcal{V}) = 1$ by (4.5). Thus $\mathcal{V} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus(n-2)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$, and the exact sequence (4.4) splits. By (4.6), we have $n-2 \leq 2$. This implies $n = 4$, and $\deg(\mathcal{M}) = 2$. This is case (2) described in the statement of Proposition 52.

Suppose that $b = 0$. Then we must have $r = 1$ by (4.6). By (4.5), we have $\deg(\mathcal{V}) \leq 2$. On the other hand, $\deg(\mathcal{V}) \geq a_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1} \geq n-2$. Thus $n = 4$, $\mathcal{V} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)^2$, the exact sequence (4.4) splits, and $\deg(\mathcal{M}) = 2$. This is case (3) described in the statement of Proposition 52.

Suppose from now on that \mathcal{E} is an ample vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 . Since $\deg(\mathcal{V}) \geq a_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1}$, we have $b \leq 2 - (a_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1})$ by (4.5).

We claim that $n \in \{4, 5\}$. Suppose to the contrary that $n \geq 6$. Then $h^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i + a_j + b)) = 0$ if either $1 \leq i, j \leq n-2$, or $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ and $j = n-1$. This implies that in suitable homogeneous coordinates $(x_1 : \cdots : x_{n+1})$, $F \cong Q^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is given by equation

$$c_{n-1}x_{n-1}^2 + x_n l_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) + x_{n+1} l_{n+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = 0,$$

where $c_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$, and l_n and l_{n+1} are linear forms. This contradicts the fact that F is smooth, and proves the claim. So we are in one of cases (4) and (5) of Proposition 52, depending on whether $\deg(\mathcal{M})$ is 2 or 1, respectively.

Now we proceed to prove the converse statement. Let \mathcal{X} , \mathcal{E} and b satisfy one of the conditions (1-5) in the statement of Proposition 52, and $X \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E})}(2) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b)|$ a smooth member. Then, one easily checks that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E})}(1)|_X$ is an ample line bundle on X . We shall construct a codimension 1 Mukai foliation \mathcal{F} on X such that $\mathcal{O}_X(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E})}(1)|_X$. First, let \mathcal{V} be a vector bundle of rank $n-1$ on \mathbb{P}^1 fitting into an exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow 0,$$

and consider the induced rational map $\tilde{\psi} : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{X})$. Let $\psi := \psi|_X : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ be the restriction of $\tilde{\psi}$ to X , and let $q : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the natural projection. By Remark 50, there is an open subset $X^\circ \subset X$ with $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus X^\circ) \geq 2$ such that $\psi^\circ = \psi|_{X^\circ} : X^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{X})$ is a smooth morphism with connected fibers. Set $\mathcal{M} := \det(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b) \subset T_{\mathbb{P}^1}$. This inclusion lifts to an inclusion of vector bundles $q^* \mathcal{M} \subset T_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{X})}$. Let \mathcal{F} be the pullback via ψ of the foliation defined by $q^* \mathcal{M}$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{X})$. One computes that $\mathcal{O}_X(-K_{\mathcal{F}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E})}(1)|_X$. \square

Example 53. Set $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_6)$, with $1 = a_1 = a_2 \leq a_3 \leq a_4 \leq a_5 = a_6 = a$, and $a_3 + a_4 \leq a + 1$. Set $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus 2}$, and $b = -(a_3 + a_4)$. Then \mathcal{E} and b satisfy condition (4) in Proposition 52. Let $\lambda_{4,4} \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2a_4 + b))$, $\lambda_{2,5} \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_2 + a_5 + b))$ and $\lambda_{1,6} \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1 + a_6 + b))$ be

general sections. Then $\lambda_{4,4} + \lambda_{2,5} + \lambda_{1,6} \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, S^2\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b)) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1(\mathcal{E})}(2) \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}(b))$ defines a smooth hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\mathcal{E})$.

4.4. Codimension 1 Mukai foliations on projective space bundles over surfaces.

In this subsection, we work under Assumptions 37, supposing moreover that $\tau(L) = n - 1$ and φ_L makes X a \mathbb{P}^{n-2} -bundle over a smooth surface S . This is case (2c) of Theorem 40.

We start with some easy observations.

Lemma 54. *Let C be a smooth proper curve of genus $g \geq 0$, and $p : S \rightarrow C$ a ruled surface. Suppose that $-K_S \sim A + B$ where A is an ample divisor, and B is effective. Then $g = 0$. If moreover B is nonzero and supported on fibers of p , then $S \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{E} be a normalized vector bundle on C such that $S \cong \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$, and set $e := -\deg(\mathcal{E})$, as in [Har77, Notation V.2.8.1]. Denote by f a general fiber, and by C_0 a minimal section of $p : S \rightarrow C$. Let a and b be integers such that $A \sim aC_0 + bf$. We have $-K_S \sim 2C_0 + (2 - 2g + e)f$, and hence $a \in \{1, 2\}$, and $B \sim (2 - a)C_0 + (e - 2g + 2 - b)f$. Since B is effective, $e - 2g + 2 - b \geq 0$.

We claim that $e \geq 0$. Suppose to the contrary that $e < 0$. Then $b > \frac{1}{2}ae$ by [Har77, Proposition V.2.21]. Thus $2g - 2 \leq e - b < e - \frac{1}{2}ae < 0$, and $g = 0$. But this contradicts [Har77, Theorem V.2.12], proving the claim.

By [Har77, Proposition V.2.20], we must have $b \geq ae + 1$, and thus $-2 \leq 2g - 2 \leq e - b \leq (1 - a)e - 1 < 0$. This implies $g = 0$, and $b - e \in \{1, 2\}$. If moreover $a = 2$ and $B \neq 0$, then $e = 0$, completing the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 55. *Let S be a smooth projective surface such that $-K_S \sim A + B$ where A is ample, and $B \neq 0$ is effective. Then either $S \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, or S is a Hirzebruch surface.*

Proof. It is enough to show that either S is minimal, or $S \cong \mathbb{F}_1$. Suppose otherwise, and let $c : S \rightarrow T$ be a proper birational morphism onto a ruled surface $q : T \rightarrow C$. Set $A_T := c_*A$, and $B_T := c_*B$. Then A_T is ample, B_T is effective, and $-K_T \sim A_T + B_T$. By Lemma 54, $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and $T \cong \mathbb{F}_e$ for some $e \geq 0$.

Let $p : S \rightarrow C$ be the induced morphism, and denote by f a fiber of p or q . Since c is not an isomorphism by assumption, $A \cdot f \geq 2$. On the other hand, $-K_S \cdot f = 2$, and thus $A \cdot f = -K_S \cdot f - B \cdot f \leq 2$. Hence $A \cdot f = A_T \cdot f = 2$, and $B \cdot f = B_T \cdot f = 0$. These equalities, together with the fact that A_T is ample and $-K_T \sim A_T + B_T$, imply that one of the following holds:

- (1) $T \cong \mathbb{F}_1$ and $B_T = 0$; or
- (2) $T \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and either $B_T = 0$ or $B = f$.

Suppose that $B_T = 0$. Intersecting $-K_S$ with the (disjoint) curves E_i 's contracted by c gives that $A = c^*A_T - \sum E_i = -K_S$. But this forces $B = 0$, contrary to our assumptions.

So we must have $T \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $B = f$. Intersecting $-K_S$ with the (disjoint) curves E_i 's contracted by c gives that $A = c^*A_T - \sum E_i$ and $B = f$. Let $\ell \subset T$ be a fiber of the projection $T \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ transversal to $q : T \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $A_T \cdot \ell = 1$. We choose ℓ to contain the image of some E_i , and let $\tilde{\ell} \subset S$ be its strict transform. Then $A \cdot \tilde{\ell} \leq 0$, contrary to our assumptions.

We conclude that either S is minimal, or $S \cong \mathbb{F}_1$. \square

Proposition 56. *Let X , \mathcal{F} and L be as in Assumptions 37. Suppose that $\tau(L) = n - 1$, and φ_L makes X a \mathbb{P}^{n-2} -bundle over a smooth surface S . Then there exist*

- an exact sequence of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_S -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{E} , and $\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{Q}^{**}$ are vector bundles on S , \mathcal{E} is ample of rank $n - 1$, and $\text{rank}(\mathcal{K}) = 2$;

- a codimension 1 foliation \mathcal{G} on $\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{K})$, generically transverse to the natural projection $q : \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow S$, satisfying $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^*\det(\mathcal{V})$ and $r_{\mathcal{G}}^a \geq 1$;

such that $X \cong \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})$, and \mathcal{F} is the pullback of \mathcal{G} via the induced relative linear projection $\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{K})$. In this case, $r_{\mathcal{F}}^a \geq r_{\mathcal{F}} - 1$. Moreover, one of the following holds.

- (1) $S \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, $\det(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(i)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and $4 \leq n \leq 3 + i$.
- (2) S is a del Pezzo surface $\not\cong \mathbb{P}^2$, $\det(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{O}_S(-K_S)$, and $4 \leq n \leq 5$.
- (3) $S \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $\det(\mathcal{V})$ is a line bundle of type $(1, 1)$, $(2, 1)$ or $(1, 2)$, and $n = 4$.

- (4) $S \cong \mathbb{F}_e$ for some integer $e \geq 1$, $\det(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0 + (e+i)f)$, where $i \in \{1, 2\}$, C_0 is the minimal section of the natural morphism $\mathbb{F}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, f is a general fiber, and $n = 4$.

Conversely, given S , \mathcal{X} , \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{V} as above, and a codimension one foliation $\mathcal{G} \subset T_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{X})}$ satisfying $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^* \det(\mathcal{V})$, the pullback of \mathcal{G} via the relative linear projection $X \cong \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{X})$ is a codimension one Mukai foliation on X .

Proof. Denote by $F \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ a general fiber of φ_L , and recall from Theorem 40(2c) that $\mathcal{L}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-2}}(1)$. Set $\mathcal{E} := (\varphi_L)_* \mathcal{L}$. Then \mathcal{E} is an ample vector bundle of rank $n-1$, and $X \cong \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})$.

We claim that $T_{X/S} \not\subseteq \mathcal{F}$. Indeed, if $T_{X/S} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, then \mathcal{F} would be the pullback via φ_L of a foliation on S , and hence $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-3}|_F \cong \det(\mathcal{F})|_F \cong \det(T_{X/S})|_F \cong \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-1}|_F$ by 11, which is absurd. So $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{F} \cap T_{X/S}$ is a codimension 3 foliation on X . Denote by \mathcal{H}_F the codimension 1 foliation on $F \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ obtained by restriction of \mathcal{H} . By 13, there exists a non-negative integer c such that $-K_{\mathcal{H}_F} = (n-3+c)H$, where H denotes a hyperplane in \mathbb{P}^{n-2} . By Theorem 3, $c = 0$, and \mathcal{H}_F is a degree zero foliation on $F \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$.

Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{V} be as defined in 44. By [Har80, Corollary 1.4] and [AD13, Remark 2.3], \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{V} are vector bundles on S , and there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0$$

with $\mathcal{Q}^{**} \cong \mathcal{V}$. The foliation \mathcal{H} is induced by the relative linear projection $\psi : X \cong \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{X})$. So, by 11, \mathcal{F} is the pullback via ψ of a rank 2 foliation \mathcal{G} on $\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{X})$. There is an open subset $X^\circ \subset X$ with $\text{codim}_X(X \setminus X^\circ) \geq 2$ such that $\psi^\circ = \psi|_{X^\circ} : X^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{X})$ is a smooth morphism with connected fibers. So, by (2.2),

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n-3} \cong \det(\mathcal{F}) \cong \det(T_{X/\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{X})}) \otimes \varphi^* \det(\mathcal{G}),$$

where $T_{X/\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{X})}$ denotes the saturation of $T_{X^\circ/\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{X})}$ in T_X . A straightforward computation gives $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^* \det(\mathcal{V})$, where $q : \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow S$ denotes the natural projection. By Lemma 57, $\det(\mathcal{V})$ is an ample line bundle on S . Thus, applying Theorem 16 to a suitable destabilizing subsheaf of \mathcal{G} , we conclude that $r_{\mathcal{G}}^a \geq 1$.

The natural morphism $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow q^* T_S$ is injective since $T_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{X})/S} \not\subseteq \mathcal{G}$. Let $q^* B$ be the divisor of zeroes of the induced map $q^* \det(\mathcal{V}) \cong \det(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow q^* \det(T_S)$.

Suppose first that $B = 0$. Then $\det(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{O}_S(-K_S)$, and hence S is a del Pezzo surface. If $S \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, then $\det(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3)$. Since the restriction of \mathcal{V} to a general line on \mathbb{P}^2 is an ample vector bundle, $\text{rank}(\mathcal{V}) \leq 3$, and hence $4 \leq n \leq 6$. Suppose that $S \not\cong \mathbb{P}^2$, and let $\ell \subset S$ be a general free rational curve of minimal anticanonical degree. Then $\det(\mathcal{V}) \cdot \ell = -K_S \cdot \ell = 2$. Since $\mathcal{V}|_\ell$ is an ample vector bundle, $\text{rank}(\mathcal{V}) \leq 2$, and hence $4 \leq n \leq 5$.

Suppose now that $B \neq 0$. By Lemma 55, either $S \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, or S is a Hirzebruch surface. If $S \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, then $\det(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(i)$, with $i \in \{1, 2\}$. As above, we see that $\text{rank}(\mathcal{V}) \leq i$, and hence $4 \leq n \leq 3 + i$. If $S \cong \mathbb{F}_e$ for some $e \geq 0$, then a straightforward computation gives that either $\det(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0 + (e+i)f)$, with $i \in \{1, 2\}$, or $e = 0$ and $\det(\mathcal{V}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(2C_0 + f)$. In any case, $\det(\mathcal{V}) \cdot \ell = 1$ for a suitable free rational curve $\ell \subset \mathbb{F}_e$. Since $\mathcal{V}|_\ell$ is an ample vector bundle, $\text{rank}(\mathcal{V}) = 1$, and hence $n = 4$.

Conversely, given S , \mathcal{X} , \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{V} satisfying one the conditions in the statement of Proposition 56, and a codimension one foliation $\mathcal{G} \subset T_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{X})}$ satisfying $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^* \det(\mathcal{V})$, a straightforward computation shows that the pullback of \mathcal{G} via the relative linear projection $X \cong \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E}) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{X})$ is a codimension one foliation on X with determinant $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})}(n-3)$. \square

Lemma 57. *Let S be a smooth projective surface, $W \subset S$ a closed subscheme with $\text{codim}_S W \geq 2$, \mathcal{E} an ample vector bundle on S , and \mathcal{V} a vector bundle on S such that there exists a surjective morphism of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_S -modules $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_W \mathcal{V}$. Then $\det(\mathcal{V})$ is an ample line bundle.*

Proof. Let r be the rank of \mathcal{V} . The r -th wedge product of the morphism $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_W \mathcal{V}$ gives rise to a surjective morphism $\wedge^r \mathcal{E}|_{S \setminus \text{Supp}(W)} \rightarrow \det(\mathcal{V})|_{S \setminus \text{Supp}(W)}$. It follows that $\det(\mathcal{V}) \cdot C \geq 1$ for any curve $C \subset S$. To conclude that $\det(\mathcal{V})$ is ample, it is enough to show that $h^0(S, \det(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes m}) \geq 1$ for some integer $m \geq 1$ by the Nakai-Moishezon criterion.

Set $Y := \mathbb{P}_S(\wedge^r \mathcal{E})$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ the tautological line bundle on Y , and by $q : Y \rightarrow S$ the natural projection. Let $T \subset Y$ be the closure of the section of $q|_{S \setminus \text{Supp}(W)}$ corresponding to $\wedge^r \mathcal{E}|_{S \setminus \text{Supp}(W)} \rightarrow$

$\det(\mathcal{V})|_{S \setminus \text{Supp}(W)}$. Then $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)|_T \cong (q|_T)^* \det(\mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_T(E)$ for some divisor $E \subset T$ with $\text{Supp}(E) \subset T \cap q^{-1}(\text{Supp}(W))$. Since $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)|_T$ is an ample line bundle, we must have

$$h^0(S \setminus \text{Supp}(W), \det(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes m}|_{S \setminus \text{Supp}(W)}) \geq h^0(T \setminus \text{Supp}(E), \mathcal{O}_Y(m)|_{T \setminus \text{Supp}(E)}) \geq h^0(T, \mathcal{O}_Y(m)|_T) \geq 1$$

for some $m \geq 1$, and hence $h^0(S, \det(\mathcal{V})^{\otimes m}) \geq 1$. \square

Our next goal is to classify pairs $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G})$ that appear in Proposition 56. When $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^* \mathcal{O}_S(-K_S)$, the situation is easily described as follows. This includes the cases described in Proposition 56(1, $i = 3$) and (2).

Remark 58. Let Z be a simply connected smooth projective variety, and let \mathcal{X} be a rank 2 vector bundle on Z . Set $Y := \mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{X})$, with natural projection $q : Y \rightarrow Z$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ the tautological line bundle on Y . Let $\mathcal{G} \subset T_Y$ be a codimension one foliation on Y such that $\mathcal{G} \cong q^* \mathcal{O}_Z(-K_Z)$. Then $\mathcal{G} \subset T_Y$ induces a flat connection on q . Thus $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{L}$ for some line bundle \mathcal{M} on Z , and \mathcal{G} is induced by the natural morphism $\mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{X}) \cong Z \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

Suppose now that $S \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ or \mathbb{F}_e , and $\det(\mathcal{G}) \not\cong q^* \mathcal{O}_S(-K_S)$. We will describe \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{G} that appear in Proposition 56 by restricting them to special rational curves on S . Our analysis will rely on the following result.

Lemma 59. *Let $m \geq 0$ be an integer, and consider the ruled surface $q : \mathbb{F}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $\mathcal{C} \cong q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)$ be a foliation by curves on \mathbb{F}_m with $a > 0$. Then $a \in \{1, 2\}$, and one of the following holds.*

- (1) *If $a = 2$, then $m = 0$, and \mathcal{C} is induced by the projection $\mathbb{F}_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ transversal to q .*
- (2) *If $a = 1$, then $m \geq 1$, and \mathcal{C} is induced by a pencil containing $C_0 + mf_0$, where C_0 denotes the minimal section and f_0 a fiber of $q : \mathbb{F}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.*

Proof. Notice that $\mathcal{C} \neq T_{\mathbb{F}_m/\mathbb{P}^1}$, thus the natural map $q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \cong \mathcal{C} \rightarrow q^* T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \cong q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$ is nonzero, and hence $a \in \{1, 2\}$. If $a = 2$, then, as in Remark 58, \mathcal{C} yields a flat connexion on q , $m = 0$, and \mathcal{C} is induced by the projection $\mathbb{F}_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ transversal to q , proving (1).

From now on we assume that $a = 1$. Then we must have $m \geq 1$, since the map $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{F}_m}$ does not vanish in codimension one. Denote by C_0 be a minimal section, and by f a fiber of $q : \mathbb{F}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

By Theorem 16, \mathcal{C} is algebraically integrable and its leaves are rational curves. So it is induced by a rational map with irreducible general fibers $\pi : \mathbb{F}_m \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Let C be the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{C} . As in the proof of Proposition 46, one shows that C is a section of q , and \mathcal{C} is not regular along C . Write $C \sim C_0 + bf$ with $b \geq m$ (see [Har77, Proposition V.2.20]).

The foliation \mathcal{C} is induced by a pencil Π of members of $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_m}(C)|$. Observe that the space of reducible members of $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_m}(C)|$ is a codimension one linear subspace. Therefore, Π has a unique reducible member.

Let f_0 be the divisor of zeroes of $q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \cong \mathcal{C} \rightarrow q^* T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \cong q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$. It is a fiber of q . Note that \mathcal{C} induces a flat connection on q over $\mathbb{F}_m \setminus f_0$. In particular, \mathcal{C} is regular over $\mathbb{F}_m \setminus f_0$. Let $R(\pi)$ be the ramification divisor of π , and notice that $R(\pi)$ is supported on f_0 . A straightforward computation gives $R(\pi) \equiv (2b - (m + 1))f$. Let $C_1 + kf_0$ the reducible member of Π ($k \geq 1$), where C_1 is irreducible. Write $C_1 \sim C_0 + b_1f$. Then $k = 2b - (m + 1) + 1$, and $b_1 + k = b$. Thus $b - k = m - b \leq 0$, and $b - k = b_1 \geq 0$. Hence $b_1 = 0$, and $k = b = m$. This proves (2). \square

Proposition 60. *Let \mathcal{X} be a rank 2 vector bundle on a ruled surface $p : \mathbb{F}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $e \geq 0$. Set $Y := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(\mathcal{X})$, with natural projection $q : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_e$, and tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$. Let $\mathcal{G} \subset T_Y$ be a codimension one foliation on Y with $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^* \mathcal{A}$ for some ample line bundle \mathcal{A} on \mathbb{F}_e . Then one of the following holds.*

- (1) $e \in \{0, 1\}$ and there exists a line bundle \mathcal{B} on \mathbb{F}_e such that
 - $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{B}$, and
 - \mathcal{G} is induced by the natural morphism $Y \cong \mathbb{F}_e \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and thus $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(-K_{\mathbb{F}_e})$.
- (2) There exist a line bundle \mathcal{B} on \mathbb{F}_e , integers $s \geq 1$ and $t \geq 0$, a minimal section C_0 and a fiber f of $p : \mathbb{F}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that
 - $\mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{B} \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0 + tf))$,
 - \mathcal{G} is induced by a pencil in $|\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^* \mathcal{B}^*|$ containing $\Sigma + q^*(sC_0 + tf)$, where Σ is the section of $q : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_e$ corresponding to the surjection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0 + tf) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}$,
 - $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0 + (e + 2)f)$ if $t = 0$, and $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0 + (e + 1)f)$ if $t > 0$.
- (3) $e \in \{0, 1\}$, there exist a line bundle \mathcal{B} on \mathbb{F}_e , an integer $s \geq 1$, and an irreducible divisor $B \sim C_0 + f$ on \mathbb{F}_e , where C_0 is a minimal section and f a fiber of $p : \mathbb{F}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, such that

- $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{B} \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(s(C_0 + f)))$,
 - \mathcal{G} is induced by a pencil in $|\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^*\mathcal{B}^*|$ containing $\Sigma + sq^*B$, where Σ is the section of $q : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_e$ corresponding to the surjection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(s(C_0 + f)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}$,
 - $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0 + (e+1)f)$.
- (4) There exist a line bundle \mathcal{B} on \mathbb{F}_e , integers $s, t \geq 1$, a minimal section C_0 and a fiber f of $p : \mathbb{F}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that
- $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{B} \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(tf))$,
 - \mathcal{G} is induced by a pencil in $|\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^*\mathcal{B}^*|$ generated by $\Sigma + sq^*C_0$ and $\Sigma' + tq^*f$, where Σ and Σ' are sections of $q : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_e$ corresponding to the surjections $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(tf) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(tf)$, and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(tf) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0)$, respectively,
 - $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0 + (e+1)f)$.
- (5) There exist a line bundle \mathcal{B} on \mathbb{F}_e , integers $s \geq 1$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, a minimal section C_0 and a fiber f of $p : \mathbb{F}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that
- \mathcal{K} fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{B}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_f(-\lambda) \rightarrow 0,$$

- \mathcal{G} is induced by a pencil in $|\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^*\mathcal{B}^*|$ generated by $\Sigma + sq^*C_0$ and Σ' , where Σ is the zero locus of the section of $\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^*(\mathcal{B}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(-sC_0))$ corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{B}^*$, and Σ' corresponds to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{B}^*$,
 - $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0 + (e+1)f)$.
- (6) There exist a line bundle \mathcal{B} on \mathbb{F}_e , an integer $t \geq 0$, a minimal section C_0 and a fiber f of $p : \mathbb{F}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, and a local complete intersection subscheme $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{F}_e$ of codimension 2, with $h^0(\mathbb{F}_e, \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0)) \geq 1$, such that
- \mathcal{K} fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(tf) \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{B}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0) \rightarrow 0,$$

- \mathcal{G} is induced by a pencil in $|\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^*\mathcal{B}^*|$ containing $\Sigma + tq^*f$, where Σ is the zero locus of the section of $\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^*(\mathcal{B}^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(-tf))$ corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(tf) \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{B}^*$,
 - $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0 + (e+1)f)$.
- (7) There exist a line bundle \mathcal{B} on \mathbb{F}_e , a minimal section C_0 and a fiber f of $p : \mathbb{F}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, and a local complete intersection subscheme $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{F}_e$ of codimension 2 such that
- there exists a curve $C \sim C_0 + f$ with $\Lambda \subset C$ and, for any proper subcurve $C' \subsetneq C$, $\Lambda \not\subset C'$,
 - \mathcal{K} fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{B}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0 + f) \rightarrow 0,$$

- \mathcal{G} is induced by a pencil of irreducible members of $|\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^*\mathcal{B}^*|$ containing Σ , the zero locus of the section of $\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^*\mathcal{B}^*$ corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{B}^*$,
- $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0 + (e+1)f)$.

Proof. To ease notation, set $S := \mathbb{F}_e$. Denote by C_0 a minimal section, and by f a general fiber of $p : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Denote by $F \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ a general fiber of $q : Y \rightarrow S$. Given any curve $C \subset S$, we set $Y_C := q^{-1}(C)$, and denote by $q_C : Y_C \rightarrow C$ the restriction of q to Y_C .

We claim that $T_{Y/S} \not\subseteq \mathcal{G}$. Indeed, if $T_{Y/S} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, then \mathcal{G} would be the pullback via q of a foliation on S , and hence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \cong \det(\mathcal{G})|_F \cong (T_{Y/S})|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$ by 11, which is absurd. Therefore, the natural map $T_Y \rightarrow q^*T_S$ induces an injective morphism of sheaves $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow q^*T_S$. Let q^*B be the divisor of zeroes of the induced map $q^*\det(\mathcal{A}) \cong \det(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow q^*\det(T_S)$.

Suppose that $B = 0$. Then $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{O}_S(-K_S)$ is ample, and hence $e \in \{0, 1\}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{G} \subset T_Y$ induces a flat connection on q . Thus $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ for some line bundle \mathcal{B} on S , and \mathcal{G} is induced by the natural morphism $Y \cong S \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. This is case (1) in the statement of Proposition 60.

Suppose from now on that $B \neq 0$. A straightforward computation shows that one of the following holds (up to possibly exchanging C_0 and f when $e = 0$).

- $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{O}_S(C_0 + (e+1)f)$ and $B \sim C_0 + f$, or
- $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{O}_S(C_0 + (e+2)f)$ and $B \sim C_0$.

In either case, B contains a unique irreducible component dominating \mathbb{P}^1 . We denote this irreducible component by B_1 , and set $B_2 := B - B_1$.

Let $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ be a general member of $|\mathcal{O}_S(C_0 + ef)|$. Since $T_{Y/S} \not\subseteq \mathcal{G}$, \mathcal{G} induces foliations by curves $\mathcal{C}_f \subset T_{Y_f}$ and $\mathcal{C}_C \subset T_{Y_C}$ on Y_f and Y_C , respectively. By 13, there exist effective divisors D_f on Y_f and D_C on Y_C such that

$$(4.7) \quad \mathcal{O}_{Y_f}(-K_{\mathcal{C}_f}) \cong (q^*\mathcal{A})|_{Y_f} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y_f}(D_f), \text{ and } \mathcal{O}_{Y_C}(-K_{\mathcal{C}_C}) \cong q^*(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-C_0 - ef))|_{Y_C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y_C}(D_C).$$

Claim.

- (a) $\mathcal{C}_f \cong q_f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$, $Y_f \cong \mathbb{F}_m$ with $m \geq 1$, and \mathcal{C}_f is induced by a pencil containing $\sigma_0 + m\ell_0$, where σ_0 denotes the minimal section and ℓ_0 a fiber of $q_f : Y_f \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.
- (b) If $B \sim C_0 + f$ (and so $C \cap \text{Supp}(B) \neq \emptyset$), then $\mathcal{C}_C \cong q_C^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$, $Y_C \cong \mathbb{F}_m$ with $m \geq 1$, and \mathcal{C}_C is induced by a pencil containing $\sigma_0 + m\ell_0$, where σ_0 denotes the minimal section and ℓ_0 a fiber of $q_C : Y_C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.
- (c) If $B \sim C_0$ (and so $C \cap \text{Supp}(B) = \emptyset$), then $\mathcal{C}_C \cong q_C^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$, $Y_C \cong C \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and \mathcal{C}_C is induced by the projection morphism $C \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

On the open subset $Y \setminus q^{-1}(\text{Supp}(B))$, \mathcal{G} is regular and induces a flat connection. Therefore $\mathcal{G}|_{Y_f}$ intersects $T_{Y_f/f}$ transversely over $f \setminus \{f \cap \text{Supp}(B)\}$, and thus the support of D_f is contained in $q^{-1}(f \cap \text{Supp}(B))$. It follows from (4.7) that $\mathcal{C}_f \cong q_f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k)$ for some positive integer k . Since the natural map $\mathcal{C}_f \rightarrow q_f^*T_f$ is injective, we must have $k \in \{1, 2\}$. The same argument shows that $\mathcal{C}_C \cong q_C^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(l)$, with $l \in \{1, 2\}$. If $\mathcal{C}_f \cong q_f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$, then \mathcal{C}_f is a regular foliation, $Y_f \cong f \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and \mathcal{C}_f is induced by the projection morphism $f \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. On the other hand, if b is a general point in $\text{Supp}(B)$, then $q^{-1}(b)$ is tangent to \mathcal{G} , while \mathcal{G} is regular at a general point of $q^{-1}(b)$. Since f is assumed to be general, $f \cap \text{Supp}(B)$ is a general point $b \in \text{Supp}(B)$, and we conclude from this observation that Y_f must be a leaf of \mathcal{G} , which is absurd. Therefore we must have $\mathcal{C}_f \cong q_f^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ and $D_f = 0$. Analogously, we prove that if $C \cap \text{Supp}(B) \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{C}_C \cong q_C^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ and $D_C = 0$. The description of (Y_f, \mathcal{C}_f) and (Y_C, \mathcal{C}_C) in this case follow from Lemma 59. Finally, if $B \sim C_0$, then $D_C = 0$, and \mathcal{C}_C induces a flat connection on q_C . Therefore $\mathcal{C}_C \cong q_C^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$, $Y_C \cong C \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and \mathcal{C}_C is induced by the projection morphism $C \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. This proves the claim.

Next we show that \mathcal{G} has algebraic leaves, and that a general leaf has relative degree 1 over S . From the claim, we know that the general leaves of \mathcal{C}_f and \mathcal{C}_C are sections of $q_f : Y_f \rightarrow f$ and $q_C : Y_C \rightarrow C$, respectively. Let F_C be a general leaf of \mathcal{C}_C mapping onto C . For a general fiber f of $p : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, Y_f meets F_C in a single point, and there is a unique leaf F_f of \mathcal{C}_f through this point. We let Σ be the closure of the union of the F_f 's obtained in this way, as f varies through general fibers of $p : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. It is a general leaf of \mathcal{G} , and has relative degree 1 over S .

Since \mathcal{G} is algebraically integrable, we can consider the rational first integral for \mathcal{G} , $\pi : Y \dashrightarrow \tilde{W}$, as described in 15. Since Y is a rational variety, $\tilde{W} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. So \mathcal{G} is induced by a pencil Π in the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^*\mathcal{M}|$ for some line bundle \mathcal{M} on S . Notice that $\pi_f := \pi|_{Y_f} : Y_f \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\pi_C := \pi|_{Y_C} : Y_C \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ are rational first integrals for \mathcal{C}_f and \mathcal{C}_C , respectively, and \mathcal{C}_f and \mathcal{C}_C are induced by the restricted pencils $\Pi|_{Y_f}$ and $\Pi|_{Y_C}$, respectively.

Our next task is to determine the line bundle \mathcal{M} . From claim (a–c), there are integers a, b, s, t , with $s \geq 1$ and $t \geq 0$ such that $\mathcal{K}|_f \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a + s)$, and $\mathcal{K}|_C \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b + t)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}|_f \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a)$ and $\mathcal{M}|_C \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-b)$. This implies that $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf)$.

Any member of Π can be written as $\Sigma + uq^*B_1 + vq^*B_2$, where Σ is irreducible and has relative degree 1 over S , and $u, v \geq 0$ are integers. In particular, the ramification divisor $R(\pi)$ of π must be of the form $R(\pi) = cq^*B_1 + dq^*B_2$, with $c, d \geq 0$ integers. We have

$$N_{\mathcal{G}} \cong (\pi^*\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(R(\pi)))^* \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(2) \otimes q^*\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-R(\pi)).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\mathcal{G}} &\cong \mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(K_{\mathcal{G}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(2) \otimes q^*(\det(\mathcal{K}^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-K_S) \otimes \mathcal{A}^*) \\ &\cong \mathcal{O}_Y(2) \otimes q^*(\det(\mathcal{K}^*) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(B)), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{O}_Y(R(\pi)) \cong q^*(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \det(\mathcal{K}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-B)) \cong q^*(\mathcal{O}_S(sC_0 + tf) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-B)).$$

It follows from claim (b–c) that, if $B \sim C_0$ then $t = d = 0$, and if $B \not\sim C_0$, then $c = s - 1$ and $d = t - 1$. Notice also that, if $s \geq 2$, then the pencil Π contains a member of the form $\Sigma + sq^*B_1 + vq^*B_2$, where Σ

is irreducible and has relative degree 1 over S , and $v \geq 0$. Similarly, if $t \geq 2$, then the pencil Π contains a member of the form $\Sigma + uq^*B_1 + tq^*B_2$, where Σ is irreducible and has relative degree 1 over S , and $u \geq 0$.

Case 1: Suppose that Π contains a member of the form $\Sigma + uq^*B_1 + vq^*B_2$, where Σ is irreducible and has relative degree 1 over S , $B_2 \neq 0$, and $u, v > 0$.

Up to replacing C_0 and f with linearly equivalent curves on S , we may write $B = C_0 + f$. It follows from claim (a–b) that $u = s$ and $v = t$. Moreover $\Sigma \cap Y_f$ and $\Sigma \cap Y_C$ are the minimal sections of $q_f : Y_f \rightarrow f$ and $q_C : Y_C \rightarrow C$, respectively. If Σ' is the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{G} , then $\Sigma \cap \Sigma' \cap Y_f = \emptyset = \Sigma \cap \Sigma' \cap Y_C$. This implies that $\Sigma \cap \Sigma' \cap q^{-1}(b) = \emptyset$ for a general point $b \in \text{Supp}(B)$. One can find an open subset $V \subset S$, with $\text{codim}_S(S \setminus V) \geq 2$, such that $\Sigma \cap q^{-1}(V)$ and $\Sigma' \cap q^{-1}(V)$ are sections of $q|_{q^{-1}(V)}$, and $\Sigma \cap \Sigma' \cap q^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$. Therefore, there are line bundles \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 on S such that $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{B}_1 \oplus \mathcal{B}_2$, and Σ corresponds to the surjection $\mathcal{B}_1 \oplus \mathcal{B}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_1$. From the description of $\mathcal{K}|_f$ and $\mathcal{K}|_C$ above, we see that $\mathcal{B}_1|_f \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)$, $\mathcal{B}_1|_C \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b)$, $\mathcal{B}_2|_f \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a+s)$, and $\mathcal{B}_2|_C \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(b+t)$. Thus $\mathcal{B}_1 \cong \mathcal{O}_S(aC_0 + bf)$, and $\mathcal{B}_2 \cong \mathcal{O}_S((a+s)C_0 + (b+t)f)$. We are in case (2) in the statement of Proposition 60, with $t \geq 1$.

Case 2: Suppose that Π contains a member of the form $\Sigma + uq^*B_1$, where Σ is irreducible and has relative degree 1 over S , and $u > 0$.

It follows from claim (a) that $u = s$. Next we prove that any other reducible divisor of Π must be of the form $\Sigma' + tq^*B_2$, where Σ' is irreducible and has relative degree 1 over S . In particular, if there exists such divisor in Π , we must have $B_2 \neq 0$ and $t > 0$. Indeed, let $D = \Sigma' + iq^*B_1 + jq^*B_2$ be a reducible member of Π , where Σ' is irreducible and has relative degree 1 over S . If $i > 0$, then it follows from the claim that $i = s$ and $\Sigma = \Sigma'$. Since $D \sim \Sigma + sq^*B_1$, we must have $D = \Sigma + sq^*B_1$. If $i = 0$, then we must have $B_2 \neq 0$ and $j > 0$. It follows from claim (b) that $j = t$, and so $D = \Sigma' + tq^*B_2$.

We consider three cases.

Case 2.1: Suppose that $B_2 = 0$, and $B = B_1 \sim C_0 + f$. Then we must have $e \in \{0, 1\}$.

Let Σ' is the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{G} . It follows from claim (a) that $\Sigma \cap Y_f$ is the minimal section of q_f , and $\Sigma \cap \Sigma' \cap Y_f = \emptyset$. Proceeding as in case 1, we show that we must be in case (3) in the statement of Proposition 60.

Case 2.2: Suppose $B_2 = 0$, and $B = B_1 \sim C_0$.

Up to replacing C_0 with a linearly equivalent curve on S , we may write $B = C_0$.

Since Σ is irreducible and has relative degree 1 over S , it contains only finitely many fibers of q , and corresponds to a surjective morphism of sheaves $\mathcal{K} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{S}$, where \mathcal{S} is a line bundle on S , and $\Lambda \subset S$ is a closed subscheme with $\text{codim}_S \Lambda \geq 2$. Denote by \mathcal{T} the kernel of this morphism. Then \mathcal{T} is a line bundle on S , and $\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{S} \cong \det(\mathcal{K}) \cong \mathcal{O}_S((2a+s)C_0 + 2bf)$. Since $\Sigma + sq^*C_0 \in \Pi \subset |\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^*\mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf)|$, we must have $\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf) \cong \mathcal{O}_S(sC_0)$, and $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf) \cong \mathcal{O}_S$.

Let Σ' is the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{G} , and $\sigma' \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^*\mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf))$ a nonzero section vanishing along Σ' . We claim that σ' is mapped to a nonzero element in $H^0(S, \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf)) \cong H^0(S, \mathcal{I}_\Lambda)$ under the natural morphism $H^0(S, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf)) \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf))$. Indeed, if $0 \neq \sigma \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^*\mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf))$ comes from $H^0(S, \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf)) \subset H^0(S, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf)) \cong H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^*\mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf))$, then its zero locus on Y must be reducible, yielding a contradiction. We conclude that $\Lambda = \emptyset$, and the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \rightarrow 0$ splits. So we are in case (2) in the statement of Proposition 60, with $s > 0$ and $t = 0$.

Case 2.3: Suppose that $B_2 \neq 0$.

Up to replacing C_0 and f with linearly equivalent curves on S , we may write $B = C_0 + f$.

As in case 2.2, Σ corresponds to a surjective morphism of sheaves $\mathcal{K} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{S}$, where \mathcal{S} is a line bundle on S , and $\Lambda \subset S$ is a closed subscheme with $\text{codim}_S \Lambda \geq 2$. Denote by \mathcal{T} the kernel of this morphism. Then \mathcal{T} is a line bundle on S , and $\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{S} \cong \det(\mathcal{K}) \cong \mathcal{O}_S((2a+s)C_0 + (2b+t)f) \cong \mathcal{O}_S(sC_0 + tf) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(2aC_0 + 2bf)$. Since $\Sigma + sq^*C_0 \in |\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^*\mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf)|$, we must have $\mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf) \cong \mathcal{O}_S(sC_0)$, and $\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf) \cong \mathcal{O}_S(tf)$. Thus, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(sC_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{O}_S(tf) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{O}_S(sC_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf)$ is the map corresponding to $\Sigma + sq^*C_0 \in \Pi$.

Suppose that $\Sigma + sq^*C_0$ is the only reducible member of Π . Then we must have $t = 1$. Let Σ' is the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{G} , and $\sigma' \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^*\mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf))$ a nonzero section vanishing along Σ' . As in case 2.2, we see that σ' is mapped to a nonzero element $\bar{\sigma}' \in H^0(S, \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf)) \cong$

$H^0(S, \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{O}_S(f))$ under the natural morphism $H^0(S, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf)) \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf))$. Let $f' \sim f$ be the divisor of zeros of $\bar{\sigma}'$. Then $\Lambda \subset f'$. Since $\Sigma \cap \Sigma' \cap q^{-1}(b) = \emptyset$ for any point $b \in C_0 \setminus f$, we must have $f = f'$. We obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{O}_S(sC_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_f(-\Lambda) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf)$ is the map given by σ' . We are in case (5) in the statement of Proposition 60

Now suppose that Π contains a second reducible divisor. We have seen above that it must be of the form $\Sigma' + tq^*f$, where Σ' is irreducible and has relative degree 1 over S . As before, it gives rise to an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(tf) \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda'} \mathcal{O}_S(sC_0) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\Lambda' \subset S$ is a closed subscheme with $\text{codim}_S \Lambda' \geq 2$. Notice that $\Sigma \neq \Sigma'$, and so the induced morphism $\mathcal{O}_S(sC_0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_S(tf) \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf)$ is injective. Since $\det(\mathcal{O}_S(sC_0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_S(tf)) \cong \det(\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf))$, it is in fact an isomorphism. We are in case (4) in the statement of Proposition 60.

Case 3: Suppose that Π contains a member of the form $\Sigma + vq^*B_2$, where Σ is irreducible and has relative degree 1 over S , $B_2 \neq 0$, and $v > 0$.

Up to replacing C_0 and f with linearly equivalent curves on S , we may write $B = C_0 + f$. It follows from claim (b) that $v = t$.

As in case (2), we see that any other reducible divisor of Π must be of the form $\Sigma' + sq^*C_0$, where Σ' is irreducible and has relative degree 1 over S . If there exists such divisor, we are in case 2.3 above. So we may assume that $\Sigma + tq^*f$ is the only reducible member of Π . This implies that $s = 1$, and Σ gives rise to an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(tf) \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{O}_S(C_0) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\Lambda \subset S$ is a closed subscheme with $\text{codim}_S \Lambda \geq 2$. If $\Lambda = \emptyset$, then the sequence splits since $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-C_0 + tf)) = 0$, and we are in case (4) in the statement of Proposition 60, with $s = 1$. If $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$, then Λ is a local complete intersection subscheme, and we are in case (6) in the statement of Proposition 60, with $t \geq 1$.

Case 4: Suppose that all members of Π are irreducible.

Then $s = 1$ and $t \leq 1$. Let Σ' be the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{G} . It gives rise to an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{O}_S(C_0 + tf) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\Lambda \subset S$ is a closed subscheme with $\text{codim}_S \Lambda \geq 2$. We claim that $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, if $\Lambda = \emptyset$, then the sequence splits since $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-C_0 - f)) = 0$. But this implies that Π contains a reducible member, contrary to our assumptions. Hence $\text{codim}_S \Lambda = 2$, and Λ is a local complete intersection subscheme.

If $t = 0$, then we are in case (6) in the statement of Proposition 60.

Suppose from now on that $t = 1$. Then we must have $h^0(S, \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{O}_S(C_0 + f)) \geq 1$. We will show that, there exists a curve $C \sim C_0 + f$ with $\Lambda \subset C$ such that, for any proper subcurve $C' \subsetneq C$, $\Lambda \not\subset C'$. Suppose to the contrary that any curve $C \sim C_0 + f$ with $\Lambda \subset C$ can be written as $C = C_1 \cup f_1$ with $C_1 \sim C_0$, $f_1 \sim f$, and either $\Lambda \subset C_1$, or $\Lambda \subset f_1$. This implies that the set of reducible members of $|\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}_S(-aC_0 - bf)|$ has codimension 1 since $h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-f)) = 0 = h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-C_0))$. This contradicts the fact that all members of Π are irreducible. We are in case (7) in the statement of Proposition 60. \square

Next we investigate whether all the 7 cases described in Proposition 60 in fact occur.

61 (Proposition 60(1–4)). Let \mathcal{K} be a rank 2 vector bundle on a ruled surface $p : \mathbb{F}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $e \geq 0$. Set $Y := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(\mathcal{K})$, with natural projection $q : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_e$, and denote by $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ the tautological line bundle on Y . Suppose that \mathcal{K} satisfies one of the conditions (1–4) in the statement of Proposition 60. Then the pencil Π described in the statement yields a codimension one foliation \mathcal{G} on Y with $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^* \mathcal{A}$ where \mathcal{A} is an ample line bundle.

62 (Proposition 60(5)). Consider the ruled surface $p : \mathbb{F}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, with $e \geq 0$, denote by C_0 a minimal section, and by f a fiber of p . Let $s, \lambda \geq 0$ be integers, and suppose that \mathcal{K} is a coherent sheaf on \mathbb{F}_e fitting into an exact sequence

$$(4.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(f) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0 + f) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{f_0}(s + \lambda + 1) \rightarrow 0.$$

By [HL97, Proposition 5.2.2], \mathcal{K} is a rank 2 vector bundle on \mathbb{F}_e . Since $\det(\mathcal{K}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0 + f)$, we have $\mathcal{K}^* \cong \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(-sC_0 - f)$. Dualizing sequence (4.8), and twisting it with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0 + f)$ yields

$$(4.9) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{f_0}(-\lambda) \rightarrow 0$$

Conversely, dualizing sequence (4.9), and twisting it with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0 + f)$ yields sequence (4.8).

Set $Y := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(\mathcal{K})$, with natural projection $q : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_e$ and tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$. Let Σ be the zero locus of the section of $\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(-sC_0)$ corresponding to the map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ induced by (4.9). Similarly, let Σ' be the zero locus of the section of $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ corresponding to the map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ induced by (4.9). Let Π be the pencil in $|\mathcal{O}_Y(1)|$ generated by $\Sigma + sq^*C_0$ and Σ' .

If $\Sigma + sq^*C_0$ is the only reducible member of Π , then this pencil induces a foliation \mathcal{G} on Y as in Proposition 60(5). So we investigate this condition. Suppose that there exists another reducible divisor in Π , and write it as $\Sigma'' + q^*D \neq \Sigma + sq^*C_0$, where $D \sim uC_0 + vf$ is a nonzero effective divisor on \mathbb{F}_e . By restricting Π to $Y_f = q^{-1}(f)$, we see that $u = 0$ and $v > 0$. Thus $h^0(\mathbb{F}_e, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(-vf_0)) \geq 1$. On the other hand, $h^0(\mathbb{F}_e, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(-vf_0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0 - vf_0)) = 0$. This implies $\lambda = 0$, and thus $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(f) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(sC_0)$.

63 (Proposition 60(6)). Consider the ruled surface $p : \mathbb{F}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, with $e \geq 0$, denote by C_0 a minimal section, and by f a fiber of p . Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{F}_e$ be a local complete intersection subscheme of codimension 2, and $t \geq 0$ an integer. By [HL97, Theorem 5.1.1], there exists a vector bundle \mathcal{K} on \mathbb{F}_e fitting into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(tf) \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0) \rightarrow 0.$$

Set $Y := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(\mathcal{K})$, with natural projection $q : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_e$ and tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$.

Note that the map $H^0(\mathbb{F}_e, \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{F}_e, \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0))$ is surjective since $H^1(\mathbb{F}_e, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(tf))$ vanishes. Suppose moreover that $h^0(\mathbb{F}_e, \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0)) \geq 1$. Let $s' \in H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(1))$ be a section mapping to a nonzero section in $H^0(\mathbb{F}_e, \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0))$, and denote by Σ' its zero locus. We claim that Σ' is irreducible. Indeed, if Σ' is reducible, then, up to replacing C_0 by a linearly equivalent curve, we see that s' must vanish along $q^{-1}(C_0)$. Therefore, $h^0(\mathbb{F}_e, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(-C_0)) \geq 1$, and hence $h^0(\mathbb{F}_e, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(tf - C_0)) \geq 1$, which is absurd. This shows that Σ' is irreducible. Let Σ be the zero locus of the section of $\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(-tf)$ corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(tf) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$. Then the pencil $\Pi \subset |\mathcal{O}_Y(1)|$ generated by $\Sigma + tq^*f_0$ and Σ' induces a foliation \mathcal{G} on Y as in Proposition 60(6).

64 (Proposition 60(7)). Consider the ruled surface $p : \mathbb{F}_e \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, with $e \geq 0$, denote by C_0 a minimal section, and by f a fiber of p . Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{F}_e$ be a local complete intersection subscheme of codimension 2. By [HL97, Theorem 5.1.1], there exists a vector bundle \mathcal{K} on \mathbb{F}_e fitting into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0 + f) \rightarrow 0.$$

Set $Y := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(\mathcal{K})$, with natural projection $q : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_e$ and tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$.

Note that the map $H^0(\mathbb{F}_e, \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{F}_e, \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0 + f))$ is surjective since $H^1(\mathbb{F}_e, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e})$ vanishes. We assume moreover that $h^0(\mathbb{F}_e, \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0 + f)) \geq 1$, and that there exists a curve $C \sim C_0 + f$ with $\Lambda \subset C$ and such that, for any proper subcurve $C' \subsetneq C$, $\Lambda \not\subset C'$.

Let Σ be the zero locus of the section of $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ corresponding to the map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$. Then Σ is a section of q over $q^{-1}(\text{Supp}(\Lambda))$, and hence it is irreducible. Let Σ' be the zero locus of the section $s' \in H^0(\mathbb{F}_e, \mathcal{O}_Y(1))$ that lifts the section of $H^0(\mathbb{F}_e, \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0 + f))$ whose divisor of zeroes is C . We claim that Σ' is irreducible. Suppose otherwise. Then s' must vanish along a subcurve C' of C . This implies that $h^0(S, \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_e}(C_0 + f - C')) \neq 0$. Therefore, C' is a proper subcurve of C , and $\Lambda \subset C''$ where C'' is such that $C = C' \cup C''$, contrary to our assumptions. This shows that Σ' is irreducible. The pencil $\Pi \subset |\mathcal{O}_Y(1)|$ generated by Σ and Σ' has only irreducible members, and induces a foliation \mathcal{G} on Y as in Proposition 60(7).

Now we go back to the problem of describing \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{G} that appear in Proposition 56. It remains to consider the case when $S \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, and $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(a)$ with $a \in \{1, 2\}$. Proposition 65 below addresses the case $a = 2$, while Proposition 68 addresses the case $a = 1$.

Proposition 65. *Let \mathcal{K} be a rank 2 vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 . Set $Y := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\mathcal{K})$, with natural projection $q : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ and tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$. Let $\mathcal{G} \subset T_Y$ be a codimension one foliation on Y with $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$. Then one of the following holds.*

- (1) *There exist integers a and s , with $s \geq 1$, such that $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(a+s)$, and \mathcal{G} is induced by a pencil in $|\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-a)|$ containing a divisor of the form $\Sigma + sq^* \ell_0$, where Σ is the section of q corresponding to the map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(a+s) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(a)$, and $\ell_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is a line.*
- (2) *There exist an integer a and a local complete intersection subscheme $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ of codimension 2 such that $h^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)) \geq 1$, \mathcal{K} fits into an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-a) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \rightarrow 0,$$

and \mathcal{G} is induced by a pencil of irreducible members of $|\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-a)|$ containing the zero locus of the section of $\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-a)$ corresponding to the map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-a)$.*

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of Proposition 60, and so we leave some easy details to the reader. To ease notation, set $S := \mathbb{P}^2$, and write $\mathcal{O}_S(1)$ for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$.

Since $T_{Y/S} \not\subseteq \mathcal{G}$, the natural map $T_Y \rightarrow q^* T_S$ induces an injective morphism of sheaves $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow q^* T_S$. There is a line $\ell_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ such that the divisor of zeroes of the induced map $q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2) \cong \det(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow q^* \det(T_S)$ is $q^* \ell_0$. Note that \mathcal{G} induces a flat connection on $q : Y \rightarrow S$ over $q^{-1}(\text{Supp}(\ell_0))$.

Let $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a general line, set $Y_\ell := q^{-1}(\ell)$ and $q_\ell := q|_{Y_\ell} : Y_\ell \rightarrow \ell$. Since $T_{Y/S} \not\subseteq \mathcal{G}$, \mathcal{G} induces a foliation by curves $\mathcal{C}_\ell \subset T_{Y_\ell}$. As in the claim in the proof of Proposition 60, one checks that $\mathcal{C}_\ell \cong q_\ell^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$, $Y_\ell \cong \mathbb{F}_s$ with $s \geq 1$, and \mathcal{C}_ℓ is induced by a pencil containing $\sigma_0 + sf$, where σ_0 denotes the minimal section, and f a fiber of $q_\ell : Y_\ell \rightarrow \ell$.

One then shows that \mathcal{G} has algebraic leaves, and it is induced by a pencil $\Pi \subset |\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}_S(-a)|$, where a is such that $\mathcal{K}|_\ell \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a+s)$.

Any member of Π is of the form $\Sigma + uq^* \ell_0$, where Σ is irreducible and has relative degree 1 over S , and $u \geq 0$ is an integer. In particular, the ramification divisor of the rational first integral for \mathcal{G} , $\pi : Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, must be of the form $R(\pi) = cq^* \ell_0$, $c \geq 0$. An easy computation shows that $c = s - 1$. In particular, if $s \geq 2$, then Π contains a member of the form $\Sigma + sq^* \ell_0$, where Σ is irreducible and has relative degree 1 over S .

Case 1: Suppose that Π contains a member of the form $\Sigma + uq^* \ell_0$, where Σ is irreducible and has relative degree 1 over S , and $u \geq 0$ is an integer. It follows from the description of \mathcal{C}_ℓ above that $u = s$, and $\Sigma \cap Y_\ell$ is the minimal section of $q_\ell : Y_\ell \cong \mathbb{F}_s \rightarrow \ell$. If Σ' is the closure of a general leaf of \mathcal{G} , then $\Sigma \cap \Sigma' \cap Y_\ell = \emptyset$. This implies that $\Sigma \cap \Sigma' \cap q^{-1}(b) = \emptyset$ for a general point $b \in \ell_0$. One can find an open subset $V \subset S$, with $\text{codim}_S(S \setminus V) \geq 2$, such that $\Sigma \cap q^{-1}(V)$ and $\Sigma' \cap q^{-1}(V)$ are sections of $q|_{q^{-1}(V)}$, and $\Sigma \cap \Sigma' \cap q^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$. Therefore, there are line bundles \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 on S such that $\mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{B}_1 \oplus \mathcal{B}_2$, and Σ corresponds to the surjection $\mathcal{B}_1 \oplus \mathcal{B}_2 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{B}_1$. From the description of $\mathcal{K}|_\ell$, we see that $\mathcal{B}_1 \cong \mathcal{O}_S(a)$, and $\mathcal{B}_2 \cong \mathcal{O}_S(a+s)$. This is case (1) in the statement of Proposition 65.

Case 2: Suppose then that all members of Π are irreducible. In particular, we must have $s = 1$. Then the section Σ gives rise to an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{O}_S(1) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\Lambda \subset S$ is a closed subscheme with $\text{codim}_S \Lambda \geq 2$. If $\Lambda = \emptyset$, then the sequence splits since $h^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-1)) = 0$. But then Π contains a reducible member, a contradiction. Thus $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$, and Λ is a local complete intersection subscheme. This is case (2) in the statement of Proposition 65. \square

Next we give examples of foliations of the type described in Proposition 65(2).

66. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a local complete intersection subscheme of codimension 2. By [HL97, Theorem 5.1.1], there exists a vector bundle \mathcal{K} on \mathbb{P}^2 fitting into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Set $Y := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(\mathcal{K})$, with natural projection $q : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ and tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$.

Note that the map $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$ is surjective since $H^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2})$ vanishes. We assume moreover that $h^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)) \geq 1$, and let ℓ_0 be a line in \mathbb{P}^2 such that $\Lambda \subset \ell_0$. Let Σ be the zero locus of the section of $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$. Then Σ is a section of q over $q^{-1}(\text{Supp}(\Lambda))$, and hence it is irreducible. Let $s' \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_Y(1))$ be a section lifting the section $H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_\Lambda \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$ corresponding to ℓ_0 , and denote by Σ' its zero locus. We claim that Σ' is irreducible. Indeed, if Σ' is reducible, then s' must vanish along ℓ_0 . On the other hand, $h^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)) = h^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_\Lambda) = 0$, and hence $h^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)) = 0$, yielding a contradiction.

Therefore, the pencil $\Pi \subset |\mathcal{O}_Y(1)|$ generated by Σ and Σ' induces a foliation \mathcal{G} on Y as in Proposition 65(2).

Example 67. Set $Y := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2})$, denote by $q : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ the natural projection, and by $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ the tautological line bundle. Let $p : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the morphism induced by the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_Y(1) \otimes q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)|$. If $\mathcal{C} \subset T_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ is a degree zero foliation, then $\mathcal{G} := p^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$ is a codimension one foliation on Y with $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$ as in Proposition 65(2). Let F be the closure of a leaf of \mathcal{G} . Then $q|_F : F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is the blow up of \mathbb{P}^2 at a point on the line $q(p^{-1}(\text{Sing}(\mathcal{C})))$.

Proposition 68. *Let \mathcal{K} be a rank 2 vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 . Set $Y := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\mathcal{K})$, with natural projection $q : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ and tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$. Let \mathcal{G} be a codimension one foliation on Y with $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$, and suppose that \mathcal{G} is not algebraically integrable. Then there exist*

- a rational map $\psi : Y \dashrightarrow S = \mathbb{P}_{\ell}(\mathcal{K}|_{\ell})$, where $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is a general line, giving rise to a foliation by rational curves $\mathcal{M} \cong q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ on Y , which lifts a degree zero foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 ;
- a rank 1 foliation \mathcal{N} on S induced by a global vector field;

such that \mathcal{G} is the pullback of \mathcal{N} via ψ .

Proof. First note that $T_{Y/\mathbb{P}^2} \not\subseteq \mathcal{G}$. Indeed, if $T_{Y/\mathbb{P}^2} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, then \mathcal{G} would be the pullback via q of a foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 . Denote by $f \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ a general fiber of $q : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. Then, by 11, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \cong \det(\mathcal{G})|_f \cong (T_{Y/S})|_f \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$ which is absurd.

Let \mathcal{A} be a very ample line bundle on Y . Since \mathcal{G} is not algebraically integrable, by [AD13, Proposition 7.5], there exists an algebraically integrable subfoliation by curves $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathcal{M} \cdot \mathcal{A}^2 \geq \det(\mathcal{G}) \cdot \mathcal{A}^2 \geq 1$. Moreover, \mathcal{M} does not depend on the choice of the very ample line bundle \mathcal{A} on Y . Therefore $\mathcal{M} \cdot (q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(k) \otimes \mathcal{A})^2 \geq \det(\mathcal{G}) \cdot (q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(k) \otimes \mathcal{A})^2 > 0$ for all $k \geq 1$, and hence $\mathcal{M} \cdot f \geq 0$.

So we can write $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(a) \otimes q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(b)$ for some integers a and b , with $a \geq 0$. Since $T_{Y/\mathbb{P}^2} \not\subseteq \mathcal{G}$, there exists an injection of sheaves $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow q^*T_{\mathbb{P}^2}$, and hence we must have $a = 0$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{M} \cdot \mathcal{A}^2 \geq 1$, we must have $b \geq 1$. Since the map $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow q^*T_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ induces a nonzero map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(b) \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^2}$, we conclude that $b = 1$ by Bott's formulas. So $\mathcal{M} \cong q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$.

Let $p \in \mathbb{P}^2$ be the singular locus of the degree zero foliation $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1) \subset T_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ induced by the map $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow q^*T_{\mathbb{P}^2}$. Then $\mathcal{M} \subset T_Y$ is a regular foliation (with algebraic leaves) over $q^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{p\})$. By Lemma 59, a general leaf of \mathcal{M} maps isomorphically to a line in \mathbb{P}^2 through the point p . This implies that the space of leaves of \mathcal{M} can be naturally identified with $S = \mathbb{P}_{\ell}(\mathcal{K}|_{\ell})$, where $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is a general line. Moreover, the natural morphism $q^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{p\}) \rightarrow S$ is smooth. Hence, by (11), \mathcal{G} is the pullback of a rank 1 foliation $\mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{O}_S$ on S . \square

Remark 69. Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on a smooth complex variety Z . Set $Y := \mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{E})$, with natural projection $q : Y \rightarrow Z$. Let \mathcal{W} be a line bundle on Z , and $V \in H^0(Z, T_Z \otimes \mathcal{W})$ a twisted vector field on Z . By [CL77, Proposition 1.1] and [AD13, Lemma 9.5], the map $\mathcal{W}^* \subset T_Z$ induced by V lifts to a map $q^*\mathcal{W}^* \rightarrow T_Y$ if and only if \mathcal{E} is V -equivariant, i.e., if there exists a \mathbb{C} -linear map $\tilde{V} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{E}$ lifting the derivation $V : \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$.

Example 70. Set $Y := \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$, with natural projection $q : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ and tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$. Let $p : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ be the morphism induced by the linear system $|\mathcal{O}_Y(1)|$. It is the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 at a point x . Let $y \in \mathbb{P}^3 \setminus \{x\}$, and denote by $\varpi : \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ the linear projection from y . Let $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \subset T_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ be a degree one foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 , singular at the point $\varpi(x)$, and let $\mathcal{G} \subset T_Y$ be the pullback of \mathcal{C} via $\varpi \circ p$. It is a codimension one foliation on Y . An easy computation shows that $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$. The rational map $\varpi : \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ induces a foliation by curves $\mathcal{M} \cong q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ on Y , which lifts the degree zero foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 given by the linear projection from the point $q(p^{-1}(y)) \in \mathbb{P}^2$. The space T of leaves of \mathcal{M} can be naturally identified with $S = \mathbb{F}_1$, and \mathcal{G} is the pullback via the induced rational map $Y \dashrightarrow S$ of a foliation induced by a global vector field on S .

4.5. Proof of Theorem 7.

Let X , \mathcal{F} and L be as in Assumptions 37. By Theorem 18, $K_X + (n-3)L$ is not nef, i.e., $\tau(L) > n-3$. By Theorem 40, $\tau(L) \in \{n-2, n-1, n\}$, unless $(n, \tau(L)) \in \{(5, \frac{5}{2}), (4, \frac{3}{2}), (4, \frac{4}{3})\}$.

Step 1: We show that $\tau(L) \geq n-2$.

Suppose to the contrary that $(n, \tau(L)) \in \{(5, \frac{5}{2}), (4, \frac{3}{2}), (4, \frac{4}{3})\}$ (Theorem 40(4–6)).

In cases (4), (5b) and (6) described in Theorem 40, φ_L makes X a fibration over a smooth curve C . Denote by F the general fiber of φ_L , which is either a projective space or a quadric. By Proposition 43, $\mathcal{F} \neq T_{X/C}$. Therefore, if $\ell \subset X$ is a general line on F , then ℓ is not tangent to \mathcal{F} . By Lemma 20,

$$(n-3)L \cdot \ell = -K_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \ell \leq -K_F \cdot \ell - 2.$$

One easily checks that this inequality is violated for those (X, L) in Theorem 40(4), (5b) and (6), yielding a contradiction.

It remains to consider cases (5a) and (5c) described in Theorem 40. In both cases, X admits a morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ onto a normal surface with general fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, and $\mathcal{L}|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$. Let $\ell \subset X$ be a general line on $F \cong \mathbb{P}^2$. Then $L \cdot \ell = 2$. It follows from Lemma 20 that ℓ is tangent to \mathcal{F} . By 11, \mathcal{F} is the pullback via π of a foliation by curves \mathcal{G} on S . Thus

$$L|_F = (-K_{\mathcal{F}})|_F = (-K_{X/S})|_F,$$

which is a contradiction.

We conclude that $\tau(L) \geq n-2$.

Step 2: We show that $\tau(L) \geq n-1$.

Suppose to the contrary that $\tau(L) = n-2$. Then either

- (X, L) is as in Theorem 40(3a-d); or
- $\varphi_L : X \rightarrow X'$ is birational.

Suppose that (X, L) is one of the pairs described in Theorem 40(3a-d) and Theorem 38. Then X admits a morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ onto a normal variety of dimension d , $1 \leq d \leq 3$, with general fiber F a Fano manifold of dimension $n-d$, index $\iota_F = n-2$, and

$$(4.10) \quad -K_F = (n-2)L|_F.$$

Since $\iota_F \geq \dim(F) - 1$, F is covered by rational curves of L -degree 1. So we can apply Lemma 20, and conclude that F is tangent to \mathcal{F} . By 11, \mathcal{F} is the pullback via π of a codimension 1 foliation \mathcal{G} on Y . So

$$(n-3)L|_F = (-K_{\mathcal{F}})|_F = (-K_{X/Y})|_F,$$

which contradicts (4.10).

Suppose now that $\varphi_L : X \rightarrow X'$ is birational. By Proposition 41, φ_L is the composition of finitely many disjoint divisorial contractions $\varphi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$ of the following types:

- (E) $\varphi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$ is the blowup of a smooth curve $C_i \subset X_i$, with exceptional divisor E_i . In this case X_i is smooth, and the restriction of \mathcal{L} to a fiber of $(\varphi_i)|_{E_i} : E_i \rightarrow C_i$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-2}}(1)$.
- (F) $\varphi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$ contracts a divisor $F_i \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ to a singular point, and

$$(F_i, \mathcal{N}_{F_i/X}, \mathcal{L}|_{F_i}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(-2), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(1)).$$

In this case X_i is 2-factorial. In even dimension it is Gorenstein.

- (G) $\varphi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$ contracts a divisor $G_i \cong Q^{n-1}$ to a singular point, and

$$(G_i, \mathcal{N}_{G_i/X}, \mathcal{L}|_{G_i}) \cong (Q^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}_{Q^{n-1}}(-1), \mathcal{O}_{Q^{n-1}}(1)).$$

In this case X_i factorial.

In particular X' is \mathbf{Q} -factorial and terminal.

Set $L' := (\varphi_L)_*(L)$. The Mukai foliation \mathcal{F} induces a foliation \mathcal{F}' on X' such that $-K_{\mathcal{F}'} \sim (n-3)L'$.

We claim that $K_{X'} + (n-3)L'$ is not pseudo-effective. To prove this, let $\Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (n-3)L$ be an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X such that (X, Δ) is klt, and set $\Delta' := (\varphi_L)_*(\Delta) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (n-3)L'$. Since $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is φ_L -ample, (X', Δ') is also klt. Suppose that $K_{X'} + (n-3)L' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{X'} + \Delta'$ is pseudo-effective. Under these assumptions, [AD12, Theorem 2.11] states that for any integral divisor D on X' such that $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_{X'} + \Delta'$, $h^0(X, \Omega_{X'}^1[\otimes] \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-D)) = 0$. On the other hand, by 10, \mathcal{F}' gives rise to a nonzero global section $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_{X'}^1[\otimes] \mathcal{O}_{X'}(-(K_{X'} - (n-3)L')))$, yielding a contradiction and proving the claim. In particular, $K_{X'} + (n-3)L'$ is not nef, and Proposition 41 implies that one of the following holds.

- (1) $n = 6$ and $(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')) \cong (\mathbb{P}^6, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^6}(2))$.
- (2) $n = 5$ and one of the following holds.
 - (a) $(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')) \cong (Q^5, \mathcal{O}_{Q^5}(2))$.

- (b) X' is a \mathbb{P}^4 -bundle over a smooth curve, and the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')$ to a general fiber is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2)$.
- (c) $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \cong (\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1))$.
- (3) $n = 4$ and one of the following holds.
 - (a) $(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')) \cong (\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(3))$.
 - (b) X' is a Gorenstein del Pezzo 4-fold and $3L' \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} -2K_{X'}$.
 - (c) $\varphi_{L'}$ makes X' a generic quadric bundle over a smooth curve C , and for a general fiber $F \cong Q^3$ of $\varphi_{L'}$, $\mathcal{O}_F(L'|_F) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Q^3}(2)$.
 - (d) $\varphi_{L'}$ makes X' a generic \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle over a normal surface S , and for a general fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ of $\varphi_{L'}$, $\mathcal{O}_F(L'|_F) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$.
 - (e) $(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')) \cong (Q^4, \mathcal{O}_{Q^4}(3))$.
 - (f) $\varphi_L : X \rightarrow X'$ factors through \tilde{X} , the blowup of \mathbb{P}^4 along a cubic surface S contained in a hyperplane. The exceptional locus of the contraction $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X'$ is the strict transform of the hyperplane of \mathbb{P}^4 containing S , and it is of type (F) above.
 - (g) $\varphi_L : X \rightarrow X'$ factors through \tilde{X} , a conic bundle over \mathbb{P}^3 . The exceptional locus of the contraction $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X'$ consists of a single prime divisor of type (F) above.
 - (h) $\varphi_{L'}$ makes X' a \mathbb{P}^3 -bundle over a smooth curve C , and for a general fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^3$ of $\varphi_{L'}$, $\mathcal{O}_F(L'|_F) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(3)$.
 - (i) $(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(L')) \cong (\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(4))$.
 - (j) $X' \subset \mathbb{P}^{10}$ is a cone over $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2))$ and $L' \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} 2H$, where H denotes a hyperplane section in \mathbb{P}^{10} .

If X' is a Fano manifold with $\rho(X') = 1$, then \mathcal{F}' is a Fano foliation with $-K_{\mathcal{F}'} \sim (n-3)L'$. By Theorem 3, $\iota_{\mathcal{F}'} \leq n-1$, and equality holds only if $X' \cong \mathbb{P}^n$, in which case \mathcal{F}' is induced by a pencil of hyperplanes. As a consequence, (X', L') cannot be as in (1), (2a), (3e) and (3i).

Suppose that $(X', \mathcal{O}(L')) \cong (\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(3))$, i.e., (X, L) is as in (3a). Then \mathcal{F}' is induced by a pencil of hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^4 . Denote by $H \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ the base locus of this pencil. Since $X' \cong \mathbb{P}^4$ is smooth, by Proposition 41, $\varphi_L : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ is the blowup of finitely many disjoint smooth curves $C_i \subset \mathbb{P}^4$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Denote by $E_i \subset X$ the exceptional divisor over C_i , and by $F_i \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ a fiber of $(\varphi_L)|_{E_i}$. Let

$$\omega \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^4, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2))$$

be the 1-form defining \mathcal{F}' . An easy computation shows that $(\varphi_L)^*\omega$ vanishes along E_i (with multiplicity exactly 2) if and only if $C_i \subset H$. So $(\varphi_L)^*\omega$ induces a section that does not vanish in codimension 1

$$\omega_{\mathcal{F}} \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1 \otimes (\varphi_L)^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\sum_{i=1}^k \epsilon_i E_i)),$$

where $\epsilon_i = 2$ if $C_i \subset H$, and $\epsilon_i = 0$ otherwise. This is precisely the 1-form defining \mathcal{F} . Hence,

$$N_{\mathcal{F}} \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X + K_{\mathcal{F}}) \cong (\varphi_L)^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\sum_{i=1}^k \epsilon_i E_i),$$

and thus

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-2}}(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-K_X + K_{\mathcal{F}})|_{F_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-2}}(\epsilon_i),$$

yielding a contradiction. We conclude that (X', L') cannot be as in (3a).

Next we consider the cases in which X' admits a morphism $\pi : X' \rightarrow C$ onto a smooth curve, with general fiber F isomorphic to either \mathbb{P}^{n-1} or Q^{n-1} (these are cases (2b), (3c) and (3h)). By Proposition 43, \mathcal{F} is not the relative tangent to the composed morphism $\varphi_L \circ \pi : X \rightarrow C$. Hence, $\mathcal{F}' \neq T_{X'/C}$, and a general line $\ell \subset F$ is not tangent to \mathcal{F}' . By Lemma 19,

$$(n-3)L' \cdot \ell = -K_{\mathcal{F}'} \cdot \ell \leq -K_F \cdot \ell - 2.$$

One easily checks that this inequality is violated for those (X', L') in cases (2b), (3c) and (3h).

Next we show that (X, L) cannot be as in (2c). Suppose to the contrary that

$$(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \cong (\mathbb{P}^4(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)).$$

Let $\ell \subset X$ be a general fiber of the natural projection $\pi : X = \mathbb{P}^4(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$. Since $-K_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot \ell = 2$, ℓ is tangent to \mathcal{F} by Lemma 20. By 11, \mathcal{F} is the pullback via π of a codimension 1 foliation \mathcal{G} on \mathbb{P}^4 . By (2.2), $\det(\mathcal{G}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(4)$, which is impossible by Theorem 3.

We show that (X', L') cannot be as in (3b). Suppose to the contrary that X' is a Gorenstein del Pezzo 4-fold and $3L' \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} -2K_{X'}$. Then there is an ample Cartier divisor H' on X' such that $L' \sim_{\mathbf{Q}} 2H'$ and $-K_{X'} \sim 3H'$. Notice that X' has isolated singularities. Let $Y \in |H'|$ be a general member.

We claim that Y is a smooth 3-fold. Suppose first that $(H')^4 \geq 2$. Then $|H'|$ is basepoint free by [Fuj90b, Corollary 1.5], and hence Y is smooth by Bertini's Theorem. Suppose now that $(H')^4 = 1$. By [Fuj90a, Theorem 4.2] (see also [Fuj90a, 6.3 and 6.4]), $\dim(\text{Bs}(H')) \leq 0$. Thus, if H'_1, \dots, H'_4 are general members of $|H'|$, then H'_1, \dots, H'_4 meets properly in a (possibly empty) finite set of points, and $(H')^4 = \deg(H'_1 \cap \dots \cap H'_4)$ (see [Ful98, Example 2.4.8]). Since $(H')^4 = 1$, $H'_1 \cap \dots \cap H'_4$ is a reduced point x , X' is smooth at x , and the local equations of H'_1, \dots, H'_4 at x form a regular sequence in $\mathcal{O}_{X', x}$. In particular, H'_i is smooth at x for all $i \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$. By Bertini's Theorem again, we conclude that Y is smooth.

Set $H_Y := H'|_Y$, and denote by \mathcal{H} the codimension one foliation on Y induced by \mathcal{F}' . By the adjunction formula, $-K_Y = 2H_Y$, and hence Y is a del Pezzo threefold. By 13, there exists a non-negative integer b such that $-K_{\mathcal{H}} = (1+b)H_Y$. By Theorem 3, we must have $b \in \{0, 1\}$, and hence \mathcal{H} is a Fano foliation. It follows from Theorem 4 and the classification of del Pezzo manifolds that $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^3$, $b = 0$, and $(H_Y)^3 = (H')^4 = 8$. Therefore, H' is very ample by [Fuj90a, 6.2.3], so that we can apply [Fuj82, Theorem 3] to conclude that one of the following holds.

- X' is a cubic hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^5 .
- X' is a complete intersection of two quadric hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^6 .
- X' is a cone over a Gorenstein del Pezzo 3-fold.
- $\dim(\text{Sing}(X')) \geq 1$.

In the first two cases, $(H')^4 = 3$ and $(H')^4 = 4$, respectively. Since X' has isolated singularities, we conclude that X' must be a cone over $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2))$. Denote by $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ the induced map, and by ℓ a general fiber of π . One computes that $L \cdot \ell = 1$. By Lemma 20, ℓ is tangent to \mathcal{F} . So, by 11, \mathcal{F} is the pullback via π of a codimension 1 foliation \mathcal{G} on \mathbb{P}^3 . Thus

$$L|_{\ell} = (-K_{\mathcal{F}})|_{\ell} = (-K_{X/\mathbb{P}^3})|_{\ell} = -K_{\ell},$$

which is absurd. This shows that (X', L') cannot be as in (3b).

We show that (X', L') cannot be as in (3d). Suppose to the contrary that $\varphi_{L'}$ makes X' a generic \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle over a normal surface S , and for a general fiber $F \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ of $\varphi_{L'}$, $\mathcal{O}_F(L'|_F) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$. Lemma 19 implies that F is tangent to \mathcal{F}' . By 11, \mathcal{F}' is the pullback via $\varphi_{L'}$ of foliation by curves \mathcal{G} on S , and thus

$$L'|_F = (-K_{\mathcal{F}'}|_F = (-K_{X'/S})|_F,$$

which is a contradiction.

In cases (3f), (3g) and (3j), $\varphi_L : X \rightarrow X'$ factors through a factorial 4-fold \tilde{X} . Denote by \tilde{L} the push-forward of L to \tilde{X} . The Mukai foliation \mathcal{F} induces a foliation $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on \tilde{X} such that $-K_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} \sim \tilde{L}$.

In case (3f), \tilde{X} is the blowup of \mathbb{P}^4 along a cubic surface S contained in a hyperplane $F \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. Denote by $\tilde{F} \subset \tilde{X}$ the strict transform of F , so that $N_{\tilde{F}/\tilde{X}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{L}|_{\tilde{F}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)$. We will reach a contradiction by exhibiting a family H of rational curves on \tilde{X} such that

- (1) the general member of H is a curve tangent to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$; and
- (2) two general points of \tilde{X} can be connected by a chain of curves from H avoiding the singular locus of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$.

We take H to be the family of strict transforms of lines in \mathbb{P}^4 meeting S and not contained in $F \cong \mathbb{P}^3$. It is a minimal dominating family of rational curves on \tilde{X} satisfying condition (2) above. Let $\ell \subset \tilde{X}$ be a general member of H . One computes that $-K_{\tilde{X}} \cdot \ell = 4$ and $-K_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} \cdot \ell = \tilde{L} \cdot \ell \geq 3$. For the latter, notice that

$\ell \equiv \ell_1 + 2\ell_2$, where $\ell_1 \subset \tilde{F}$ is a line under the isomorphism $\tilde{F} \cong \mathbb{P}^3$, and ℓ_2 is a nontrivial fiber of the blowup $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$. Condition (1) above then follows from Lemma 20. We conclude that (X', L') cannot be as in (3f).

In case (3g), \tilde{X} is a conic bundle over \mathbb{P}^3 . Moreover, there is a divisor $F \subset X$ mapping isomorphically onto its image by $X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$, and such that $(F, N_{F/X}) \cong (\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2))$. Denote by $\pi : X \rightarrow \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ the composite map, and by ℓ a general fiber of π . By Lemma 20, ℓ is tangent to \mathcal{F} . So, by 11, \mathcal{F} is the pullback via π of a codimension 1 foliation \mathcal{G} on \mathbb{P}^3 . Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a general line, and set $S := \pi^{-1}(C)$. Note that S is smooth, $\pi_C := \pi|_C : S \rightarrow C$ is a conic bundle, and the foliation on S induced by \mathcal{F} is precisely $T_{S/C}$. Hence, by 13, there is an effective divisor B on S such that

$$(4.11) \quad -K_S = L|_S + B.$$

On the other hand, by 11,

$$(4.12) \quad L|_S = -K_{S/C} + (\pi_C)^* c_1(\mathcal{G}|_C).$$

Equations (4.11) and (4.12) together imply that $B = (\pi_C)^* B_C$ for some effective divisor B_C on C , and thus $-K_S$ is ample. We will reach a contradiction by exhibiting a curve $\sigma \subset S$ such that $-K_S \cdot \sigma \leq 0$. We take $\sigma := F \cap S$. Using that $\mathcal{O}_F(F) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)$, the adjunction formula implies that $-K_S \cdot \sigma \leq 0$. We conclude that (X', L') cannot be as in (3g).

In case (3j), $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2))$. Denote by $\pi : X \rightarrow \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ the composite map, and by ℓ a general fiber of π . One computes that $L \cdot \ell = 1$. By Lemma 20, ℓ is tangent to \mathcal{F} . So, by 11, \mathcal{F} is the pullback via π of a codimension 1 foliation \mathcal{G} on \mathbb{P}^3 . Thus

$$L|_\ell = (-K_{\mathcal{F}})|_\ell = (-K_{X/\mathbb{P}^3})|_\ell = -K_\ell,$$

which is a contradiction.

We conclude that $\tau(L) \geq n - 1$.

Step 3: We show that if $\tau(L) = n - 1$, then one of the following conditions hold.

- (i) X admits a structure of quadric bundle over a smooth curve. In this case, by Proposition 52, X and \mathcal{F} are as described in Theorem 7(3).
- (ii) X admits a structure of \mathbb{P}^{n-2} -bundle over a smooth surface. In this case, by section 4.4, X and \mathcal{F} are as described in Theorem 7(4).
- (iii) $n = 5$, $\varphi_L : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$ is the blowup of one point $P \in \mathbb{P}^5$, and \mathcal{F} is induced by a pencil of hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^5 containing P in its base locus. This gives Theorem 7(5).
- (iv) $n = 4$, $\varphi_L : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ is the blowup of at most 8 points in general position on a plane $\mathbb{P}^2 \cong S \subset \mathbb{P}^4$, and \mathcal{F} is induced by the pencil of hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^4 with base locus S . This gives Theorem 7(6).
- (v) $n = 4$, $\varphi_L : X \rightarrow Q^4$ is the blowup of at most 7 points in general position on a codimension 2 linear section $Q^2 \cong S \subset Q^4$, and \mathcal{F} is induced by the pencil of hyperplanes sections of $Q^4 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ with base locus S . This gives Theorem 7(7).

Suppose that $\tau(L) = n - 1$. By Theorem 40, one of the following holds.

- X admits a structure of quadric bundle over a smooth curve. This is case (i).
- X admits a structure of \mathbb{P}^{n-2} -bundle over a smooth surface. This is case (ii).
- $\varphi_L : X \rightarrow X'$ is the blowup of a smooth projective variety at finitely many points $P_1, \dots, P_k \in X'$.

Suppose that we are in the latter case, and denote the exceptional prime divisors of φ_L by E_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$. Set $L' := (\varphi_L)_*(L)$. It is an ample divisor on X' and

$$(4.13) \quad L + \sum_{i=1}^k E_i = (\varphi_L)^* L'.$$

The Mukai foliation \mathcal{F} induces a Fano foliation \mathcal{F}' on X' such that $-K_{\mathcal{F}'} \sim (n-3)L'$. By Theorem 18, $K_{X'} + (n-3)L'$ is not nef, i.e., $\tau(L') > n-3$. On the other hand, since $K_{X'} + (n-1)L' = (\varphi_L)_*(K_X + (n-1)L)$ is ample, $\tau(L') < n-1$. It follows from Theorem 40, together with steps 1 and 2 above, that $\rho(X') = 1$. Let H' be the ample generator of $\text{Pic}(X')$, and write $L' \sim \lambda H'$, $\lambda \geq 1$. Then $-K_{X'} = \iota_{X'} H'$, $-K_{\mathcal{F}'} = \lambda(n-3)H'$ and

$$(4.14) \quad \tau(L') = \frac{\iota_{X'}}{\lambda} < n-1.$$

By Lemma 27,

$$(4.15) \quad \iota_{X'} \geq \lambda(n-3) + 2.$$

Inequalities (4.14) and (4.15) together yield that $\lambda \geq 2$. On the other hand, by Theorem 3, $\iota_{\mathcal{F}'} = \lambda(n-3) \leq n-1$. Thus $(n, \lambda) \in \{(5, 2), (4, 3), (4, 2)\}$.

Let $\omega' \in H^0(X', \Omega_{X'}^1(-K_{X'} - (n-3)L'))$ be the twisted 1-form defining \mathcal{F}' . The induced twisted 1-form $(\varphi_L)^*\omega' \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1((\varphi_L)^*(-K_{X'} - (n-3)L')))$ saturates to give the twisted 1-form defining \mathcal{F} , $\omega_{\mathcal{F}} \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1(-K_X - (n-3)L))$. Using (4.13), one computes that

$$-K_X - (n-3)L = (\varphi_L)^*(-K_{X'} - (n-3)L') + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k E_i.$$

Thus $(\varphi_L)^*\omega'$ must vanish along each E_i with multiplicity exactly 2.

Suppose that $(n, \lambda) = (5, 2)$. Then $\iota_{\mathcal{F}'} = \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}')$ and, by Theorem 3, $X' \cong \mathbb{P}^5$, and \mathcal{F}' is a foliation induced by a pencil of hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^5 . We claim that $\varphi_L : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$ is the blowup of only one point. Indeed, if $\varphi_L : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$ blows up at least 2 points P and Q , let ℓ be a line connecting P and Q , and $\tilde{\ell} \subset X$ its strict transform. We get a contradiction by intersecting (4.13) with $\tilde{\ell}$, and conclude that $\varphi_L : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$ is the blowup of a single point $P \in \mathbb{P}^5$, with exceptional divisor E . Moreover, $(\varphi_L)^*\omega'$ vanishes along E with multiplicity exactly 2. A local computation shows that this happens precisely when P is in the base locus of the pencil of hyperplanes defining \mathcal{F}' .

Suppose that $(n, \lambda) = (4, 3)$. Then $\iota_{\mathcal{F}'} = \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}')$ and, by Theorem 3, $X' \cong \mathbb{P}^4$, and \mathcal{F}' is a foliation induced by a pencil of hyperplanes in \mathbb{P}^4 . Moreover, $(\varphi_L)^*\omega'$ vanishes along each E_i with multiplicity exactly 2. A local computation shows that this happens precisely when the points P_i all lie in the base locus of the pencil of hyperplanes defining \mathcal{F}' , which is a codimension 2 linear subspace. Since $L = (\varphi_L)^*L' - \sum_{i=1}^k E_i$ is ample, we must have $k \leq 8$ and the P_i 's are in general position by Lemma 71 below.

Suppose that $(n, \lambda) = (4, 2)$. Then \mathcal{F}' is a codimension 1 del Pezzo foliation on X' . By Theorem 4, either $X' \cong \mathbb{P}^4$ and \mathcal{F}' is a degree 1 foliation, or $X' \cong Q^4 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ and \mathcal{F}' is induced by a pencil of hyperplane sections.

Suppose first that $X' \cong \mathbb{P}^4$ and \mathcal{F}' is a degree 1 foliation. The same argument used in the case $(n, \lambda) = (5, 2)$ shows that $\varphi_L : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ is the blowup of only one point $P \in \mathbb{P}^4$, with exceptional divisor E . Moreover, $(\varphi_L)^*\omega'$ vanishes along E with multiplicity exactly 2. A local computation shows that this cannot happen.

Finally suppose that $X' \cong Q^4 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ and \mathcal{F}' is induced by a pencil of hyperplane sections. Moreover, $(\varphi_L)^*\omega'$ vanishes along each E_i with multiplicity exactly 2. A local computation shows that this happens precisely when the points P_i all lie in the base locus of the pencil defining \mathcal{F}' , which is a codimension 2 linear section of Q^4 . Since $L = (\varphi_L)^*L' - \sum_{i=1}^k E_i$ is ample, we must have $k \leq 7$ and the P_i 's are in general position by Lemma 71 below.

Step 4: Finally suppose that $\tau(L) = n$. By Theorem 40, X admits a structure of \mathbb{P}^{n-1} -bundle over a smooth curve. In this case, by Proposition 45, X and \mathcal{F} are as described in Theorem 7(1) or (2). \square

Lemma 71. (1) Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ be the blowup of finitely many points P_1, \dots, P_k contained in a codimension 2 linear subspace $S \cong \mathbb{P}^2$, and denote by E_i the exceptional divisor over P_i . Then the line bundle $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(3) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\sum_{i=1}^k E_i)$ is ample if and only if $k \leq 8$ and the P_i 's are in general position in \mathbb{P}^2 .

(2) Let $\pi : X \rightarrow Q^4$ be the blowup of a smooth quadric at finitely many points P_1, \dots, P_k contained in a codimension 2 linear section S , and denote by E_i the exceptional divisor over P_i . Then the line bundle $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{Q^4}(2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-\sum_{i=1}^k E_i)$ is ample if and only if $k \leq 7$ and the P_i 's are in general position in S .

Proof. Under the assumptions of (1) above, write $L = \pi^*3H - \sum_{i=1}^k E_i$, where H is a hyperplane in \mathbb{P}^4 . The divisor L is ample if and only if $|mL|$ separates points in X for $m \gg 1$. Notice that $|L|$ always separates points outside the strict transform \tilde{S} of the plane $S \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. Moreover, for $m \geq 0$, any global section of

$\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(mL|_{\tilde{S}})$ extends to a global section of $\mathcal{O}_X(mL)$. Hence, L is ample if and only if $L|_{\tilde{S}}$ is ample. Now notice that

$$L|_{\tilde{S}} = p^*(-K_S) - \sum_{i=1}^k E_i|_{\tilde{S}} = -K_{\tilde{S}},$$

where $p = \pi|_{\tilde{S}} : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ is the blowup of \mathbb{P}^2 at P_1, \dots, P_k . Therefore, L is ample if and only if $-K_{\tilde{S}}$ is ample, i.e., $k \leq 8$ and the P_i 's are in general position in \mathbb{P}^2 .

Now we proceed to prove (2). Let \tilde{S} be the strict transform of the (possibly singular) irreducible quadric surface $S \subset Q^4$. Write as above $L = \pi^*2H - \sum_{i=1}^k E_i$, where $H \subset Q^4$ is a hyperplane section. Notice that $|L|$ always separates points outside \tilde{S} . Moreover, any global section of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}}(L|_{\tilde{S}})$ extends to a global section of $\mathcal{O}_X(L)$. Suppose that L is ample, so that $L|_{\tilde{S}} = -K_{\tilde{S}}$ is ample as well. Then $k \leq 7$ and the P_i 's are in general position in S . Conversely, suppose that $k \leq 7$, and the P_i 's are in general position in S . Then $\dim(\text{Bs}(-K_{\tilde{S}})) \leq 0$, and hence $\dim(\text{Bs}(L)) \leq 0$. We conclude that L is ample by Zariski's Theorem ([Laz04, Remark 2.1.32]). \square

REFERENCES

- [AC12] Carolina Araujo and Ana-Maria Castravet, *Classification of 2-Fano manifolds with high index*, Clay volume in honor of Joe Harris 60th birthday, to appear. Preprint [arXiv:1206.1357](#), 2012.
- [AD12] Carolina Araujo and Stéphane Druel, *On codimension 1 del Pezzo foliations on varieties with mild singularities*, Math. Ann., to appear. Preprint [arXiv:1210.4013](#), 2012.
- [AD13] ———, *On Fano foliations*, Adv. Math. **238** (2013), 70–118.
- [AD14] ———, *On Fano foliations 2*, Preprint [arXiv:1404.4628](#), 2014.
- [ADK08] Carolina Araujo, Stéphane Druel, and Sándor J. Kovács, *Cohomological characterizations of projective spaces and hyperquadrics*, Invent. Math. **174** (2008), no. 2, 233–253.
- [AW01] M. Andreatta and J. A. Wiśniewski, *On manifolds whose tangent bundle contains an ample subbundle*, Invent. Math. **146** (2001), no. 1, 209–217.
- [BDPP13] Sébastien Boucksom, Jean-Pierre Demailly, Mihai Păun, and Thomas Peternell, *The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension*, J. Algebraic Geom. **22** (2013), no. 2, 201–248.
- [BM01] F. Bogomolov and M. McQuillan, *Rational curves on foliated varieties*, IHES preprint, 2001.
- [BS95] Mauro C. Beltrametti and Andrew J. Sommese, *The adjunction theory of complex projective varieties*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, vol. 16, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1995.
- [Cam04] Frédéric Campana, *Orbifolds, special varieties and classification theory*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **54** (2004), no. 3, 499–630.
- [CL77] J. B. Carrell and D. I. Lieberman, *Vector fields and Chern numbers*, Math. Ann. **225** (1977), no. 3, 263–273.
- [CLN96] D. Cerveau and A. Lins Neto, *Irreducible components of the space of holomorphic foliations of degree two in $\mathbb{CP}(n)$, $n \geq 3$* , Ann. of Math. (2) **143** (1996), no. 3, 577–612.
- [CMSB02] K. Cho, Y. Miyaoka, and N. I. Shepherd-Barron, *Characterizations of projective space and applications to complex symplectic manifolds*, Higher dimensional birational geometry (Kyoto, 1997), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 35, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002, pp. 1–88.
- [DC05] Julie Déserti and Dominique Cerveau, *Feuilletages et actions de groupes sur les espaces projectifs*, Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) (2005), no. 103, vi+124 pp. (2006).
- [Dol82] Igor Dolgachev, *Weighted projective varieties*, Group actions and vector fields (Vancouver, B.C., 1981), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 956, Springer, Berlin, 1982, pp. 34–71.
- [Dru04] Stéphane Druel, *Caractérisation de l'espace projectif*, Manuscripta Math. **115** (2004), no. 1, 19–30.
- [Fle81] Hubert Flenner, *Divisorenklassengruppen quasihomogener Singularitäten*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **328** (1981), 128–160.
- [Fuj80] Takao Fujita, *On the structure of polarized manifolds with total deficiency one. I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **32** (1980), no. 4, 709–725.
- [Fuj81] ———, *On the structure of polarized manifolds with total deficiency one. II*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **33** (1981), no. 3, 415–434.
- [Fuj82] ———, *Classification of projective varieties of Δ -genus one*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **58** (1982), no. 3, 113–116.
- [Fuj84] ———, *On the structure of polarized manifolds with total deficiency one. III*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **36** (1984), no. 1, 75–89.
- [Fuj90a] ———, *Classification theories of polarized varieties*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 155, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [Fuj90b] ———, *On singular del Pezzo varieties*, Algebraic geometry (L'Aquila, 1988), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1417, Springer, Berlin, 1990, pp. 117–128.

- [Ful98] William Fulton, *Intersection theory*, second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [Har80] ———, *Stable reflexive sheaves*, Math. Ann. **254** (1980), no. 2, 121–176.
- [HL97] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, Aspects of Mathematics, E31, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.
- [Hwa98] J.-M. Hwang, *Stability of tangent bundles of low-dimensional Fano manifolds with Picard number 1*, Math. Ann. **312** (1998), no. 4, 599–606.
- [IP99] V.A. Iskovskikh and Yu. G. Prokhorov, *Algebraic Geometry V, Fano varieties*, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 47, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [Jou79] J. P. Jouanolou, *Équations de Pfaff algébriques*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 708, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [KM98] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998, With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original.
- [KO73] S. Kobayashi and T. Ochiai, *Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **13** (1973), 31–47.
- [Kol96] János Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 32, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [KSC⁺T07] Stefan Kebekus, Luis Solá Conde, and Matei Toma, *Rationally connected foliations after Bogomolov and McQuillan*, J. Algebraic Geom. **16** (2007), no. 1, 65–81.
- [Laz04] Robert Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 48, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, Classical setting: line bundles and linear series.
- [LPT11] Frank Loray, Jorge Vitório Pereira, and Frédéric Touzet, *Singular foliations with trivial canonical class*, Preprint [arXiv:1107.1538v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1107.1538v1), 2011.
- [LPT13] Frank Loray, Jorge Vitório Pereira, and Frédéric Touzet, *Foliations with trivial canonical bundle on Fano 3-folds*, Math. Nachr. **286** (2013), no. 8–9, 921–940.
- [Luo93] Zhao Hua Luo, *Factorization of birational morphisms of regular schemes*, Math. Z. **212** (1993), no. 4, 505–509.
- [Muk92] S. Mukai, *Birational classification of Fano 3-folds and Fano manifolds of coindex 3*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **86** (1992), no. 9, 3000–3002.
- [PW95] Thomas Peternell and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski, *On stability of tangent bundles of Fano manifolds with $b_2 = 1$* , J. Algebraic Geom. **4** (1995), no. 2, 363–384.
- [Wiś91] Jarosław A. Wiśniewski, *On Fano manifolds of large index*, Manuscripta Math. **70** (1991), 145–152.

CAROLINA ARAUJO: IMPA, ESTRADA DONA CASTORINA 110, RIO DE JANEIRO, 22460-320, BRAZIL

E-mail address: caraujo@impa.br

STÉPHANE DRUEL: INSTITUT FOURIER, UMR 5582 DU CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ GRENOBLE 1, BP 74, 38402 SAINT MARTIN D'HÈRES, FRANCE

E-mail address: druel@ujf-grenoble.fr